arXiv:0910.3906v1 [math.DG] 20 Oct 2009

Abelian extensions via prequantization

Cornelia Vizman
West University of Timisoara, Department of Mathematics
Bd. V.Parvan 4, 300223-Timisoara, Romania
e-mail: vizman@math.uvt.ro

Abstract

We generalize the prequantization central extension of a group of diffeomorphisms preserving a closed 2–form ω (ω–invariant diffeomorphisms) to an abelian extension of a group of diffeomorphisms preserving a closed vector valued 2–form ω up to a linear isomorphism (ω–equivariant diffeomorphisms). Every abelian extension of a simply connected Lie group can be obtained as the pull-back of such a prequantization abelian extension.

Keywords: prequantization, diffeomorphism group, flux 1–cocycle, abelian extension
MSC: 22E65, 58B20

1 Introduction

On the universal cover of the identity component of the group $\mathrm{Symp}(M,\omega)$ of symplectic diffeomorphisms of a symplectic manifold (M,ω), one defines the symplectic flux [MS07]. It is the group homomorphism $\tilde S_\omega : \widetilde{\mathrm{Symp}(M,\omega)_0} \to H^1(M,\mathbb{R})$, $\tilde S_\omega([\varphi]) = \int_0^1 [i_{\eta_t}\omega]dt$, where $[\varphi]$ is the homotopy class of a path φ_t in $\mathrm{Symp}(M,\omega)$ starting at the identity and η_t is the time dependent vector field $\frac{d}{dt}\varphi_t \circ \varphi_t^{-1}$. The flux subgroup $\Pi = \tilde S_\omega(\pi_1(\mathrm{Symp}(M,\omega)))$ of $H^1(M,\mathbb{R})$ is discrete [O06], hence $\tilde S_\omega$ descends to a Lie group homomorphism $S_\omega : \mathrm{Symp}(M,\omega)_0 \to H^1(M,\mathbb{R})/\Pi$, with kernel the group of hamiltonian diffeomorphisms [B78].

When ω has an integral cohomology class, then there exists a principal circle bundle $q : P \to M$ and a principal connection 1–form $\theta \in \Omega^1(P)$ (a contact form in the symplectic case) with curvature ω. Let $h(\ell)$ denote the holonomy around the loop ℓ in M. The identity component of the group

$$\mathrm{Hol}(M,\omega) = \{\varphi \in \mathrm{Diff}(M) : \forall \ell \in C^\infty(S^1,M), h(\varphi\circ\ell) = h(\ell)\}$$

of holonomy preserving diffeomorphisms of M is the group $\mathrm{Ham}(M,\omega)$ of hamiltonian diffeomorphisms. There are two prequantization central extensions integrating $0\to\mathbb{R}\to C^\infty(M)\to\mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{ham}}(M,\omega)\to 0$, the natural central extension of the Lie algebra of hamiltonian vector fields, namely

$$\begin{aligned} &1\to\mathbb{T}\to\mathrm{Quant}(P,\theta)\to\mathrm{Hol}(M,\omega)\to 1\\ &1\to\mathbb{T}\to\mathrm{Quant}(P,\theta)_0\to\mathrm{Ham}(M,\omega)\to 1, \end{aligned}\tag{1}$$

where $\mathbb{T}=\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathrm{Quant}(P,\theta)$ denotes the group of quantomorphisms, i.e. the group of θ-preserving automorphisms of the principal bundle P [K70] [S70] [RS81].

The above mentioned results where generalized to vector valued closed 2–forms in [NV03]. Let M be a connected smoothly paracompact manifold, possibly infinite dimensional, and ω a closed 2–form on M with values in a Mackey complete locally convex space V. Let $\mathrm{Diff}(M,\omega)$ be the group of ω–invariant diffeomorphisms and $\mathfrak{X}(M,\omega)$ the Lie algebra of ω–invariant vector fields. A flux homomorphism integrating the Lie algebra homomorphism

$$\mathrm{flux}:\mathfrak{X}(M,\omega)\to H^1(M,V),\quad \mathrm{flux}(\eta)=[i_\eta\omega],$$

can be defined if ω has a discrete period group $\Gamma\subset V$. It is the group homomorphism $\mathrm{Flux}:\mathrm{Diff}(M,\omega)_0\to H^1(M,V)/H^1(M,\Gamma)$, defined similarly to the symplectic flux S_ω. The identity component $\mathrm{Diff}_{\mathrm{ex}}(M,\omega)$ of the kernel of Flux is called the group of exact ω–invariant diffeomorphisms. In the symplectic setting it coincides with the group of hamiltonian diffeomorphisms.

Let A be the abelian Lie group V/Γ. Assuming M is smoothly paracompact, there exists a principal A-bundle $q:P\to M$ and a principal connection 1–form $\theta\in\Omega^1(P,V)$ with curvature $\omega\in\Omega^2(M,V)$. Again the group $\mathrm{Hol}(M,\omega)$ of holonomy preserving diffeomorphisms is a subgroup of $\mathrm{Diff}(M,\omega)$ and its identity component is $\mathrm{Diff}_{\mathrm{ex}}(M,\omega)$. The prequantization central extensions (1) corresponding to a vector valued 2–form are

$$\begin{aligned} &1\to A\to\mathrm{Diff}(P,\theta)^A\to\mathrm{Hol}(M,\omega)\to 1\\ &1\to A\to\mathrm{Diff}(P,\theta)^A_0\to\mathrm{Diff}_{\mathrm{ex}}(M,\omega)\to 1, \end{aligned}\tag{2}$$

where $\mathrm{Diff}(P,\theta)^A$ is the group of θ-preserving automorphisms of the principal bundle P. Let $\mathrm{Diff}(P)^A$ denote the group of automorphisms of P. Both central extensions (2) are contained in the abelian extension

$$1\to C^\infty(M,A)\to\mathrm{Diff}(P)^A\to\mathrm{Diff}(M)_{[P]}\to 1\tag{3}$$

of the group $\mathrm{Diff}(M)_{[P]}$ of diffeomorphisms preserving the isomorphism class $[P]$ of the principal bundle P.

In the same context (a closed V–valued 2–form ω on M, curvature of a principal bundle $P\to M$), we introduce the group of diffeomorphisms preserving the holonomy up to a linear isomorphism of V, subgroup of the group of ω–equivariant diffeomorphisms. We show equivariant versions of (2), which we call prequantization abelian extensions, as well as a non-abelian extension generalizing (3).

The group of ω–equivariant diffeomorphisms (diffeomorphisms preserving ω up to a linear isomorphism of V):

$$\mathrm{Diff}^{\mathrm{eq}}(M,\omega) = \{(\varphi,u) \in \mathrm{Diff}(M) \times \mathrm{GL}(V) : \varphi^*\omega = u \cdot \omega\}$$

contains the group $\mathrm{Diff}(M,\omega)$ of ω–invariant diffeomorphisms as a subgroup. The flux homomorphism Flux can be extended to a flux 1–cocycle

$$\mathrm{Flux}^{\mathrm{eq}} : \mathrm{Diff}^{\mathrm{eq}}(M,\omega)_0 \to H^1(P,V)/H^1(P,\Gamma)$$

for the $\mathrm{Diff}^{\mathrm{eq}}(M,\omega)$–action induced by the natural $\mathrm{GL}(V)$–action on V. It integrates the Lie algebra 1–cocycle

$$\mathrm{flux}^{\mathrm{eq}} : \mathfrak{X}^{\mathrm{eq}}(M,\omega) \to H^1(P,V), \quad \mathrm{flux}^{\mathrm{eq}}(\eta,\gamma) = [q^* i_\eta \omega - \gamma \cdot \theta]$$

defined on the Lie algebra of ω–equivariant vector fields

$$\mathfrak{X}^{\mathrm{eq}}(M,\omega) := \{(\eta,\gamma) \in \mathfrak{X}(M) \times \mathfrak{gl}(V) : L_\eta \omega = \gamma \cdot \omega\}.$$

Its kernel is the Lie subalgebra of hamiltonian vector fields. All equi-hamiltonian functions $h : P \to V$ have to be almost A–invariant in the sense that for all $a \in A$, the function $h - h \circ \rho(a)$ is constant on P, where ρ denotes the principal A–action on P. The identity component $\mathrm{Diff}^{\mathrm{eq}}_{\mathrm{ex}}(M,\omega)$ of the kernel of $\mathrm{Flux}^{\mathrm{eq}}$ is called the group of equi-hamiltonian diffeomorphisms.

The group $\mathrm{Hol}^{\mathrm{eq}}(M,\omega)$ of diffeomorphisms of M preserving the holonomy up to a linear isomorphism of V is another subgroup of $\mathrm{Diff}^{\mathrm{eq}}(M,\omega)$ with identity component $\mathrm{Diff}^{\mathrm{eq}}_{\mathrm{ex}}(M,\omega)$. One can write prequantization abelian extensions containing the prequantization central extensions (2)

$$\begin{aligned} 1 \to A \to &\mathrm{Diff}^{\mathrm{eq}}(P,\theta)^A \to \mathrm{Hol}^{\mathrm{eq}}(M,\omega) \to 1 \\ 1 \to A \to &\mathrm{Diff}^{\mathrm{eq}}(P,\theta)^A_0 \to \mathrm{Diff}^{\mathrm{eq}}_{\mathrm{ex}}(M,\omega) \to 1, \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

where $\mathrm{Diff}^{\mathrm{eq}}(P,\theta)^A$ is the group of projectable diffeomorphisms of P preserving θ up to a linear isomorphism of V, called equi-quantomorphisms.

Unlike the quantomorphisms, which are A–equivariant diffeomorphisms of P, the equi-quantomorphisms are almost A–equivariant diffeomorphisms in the following sense: if ψ is an equi-quantomorphism with $\psi^*\theta = u \cdot \theta$ for $u \in \mathrm{GL}(V)$ (uniquely determined by ψ), then

$$\psi \circ \rho(a) = \rho(\bar{u}(a)) \circ \psi, \quad a \in A,$$

where $\bar{u}$ is the unique group automorphism of A satisfying $\bar{u} \circ \exp = \exp \circ u$. The group $\mathrm{Diff}^{\mathrm{eq}}(P)^A$ of almost equivariant diffeomorphisms of P is a non-abelian extension

$$1 \to C^\infty_A(P,A) \to \mathrm{Diff}^{\mathrm{eq}}(P)^A \to \mathrm{Diff}(M)_{[P]} \to 1. \tag{5}$$

of $\mathrm{Diff}(M)_{[P]}$ by the group of almost A–invariant smooth maps from P to A

$$C^\infty_A(P,A)=\{f\in C^\infty(P,A):\forall a\in A,(f\circ\rho(a))f^{-1}\text{ constant on }P\}$$

with group multiplication $(f_1\cdot f_2)(y)=f_1(\rho(y,f_2(y)))f_2(y)$. The group of A–invariant smooth maps from P to A, identified with $C^\infty(M,A)$, is an abelian subgroup of $C^\infty_A(P,A)$. The non-abelian extension (5) contains the abelian extensions (4) and (3).

Let ω be a closed V–valued 2–form on a possibly infinite dimensional manifold M. A Lie group G with a smooth hamiltonian action $\lambda:G\to\mathrm{Diff}_{\mathrm{ex}}(M,\omega)$ has a central Lie group extension $\hat G$ by A, obtained by pulling back the prequantization central extension (2) and the G–action λ lifts to a smooth $\hat G$–action on P by quantomorphisms [NV03]. Examples include the Bott-Virasoro group and Ismagilov's central extension of the group of exact volume preserving diffeomorphisms integrating Lichnerowicz 2–cocyles. A similar result holds for abelian extensions and is explained below.

An equi-hamiltonian action of a Lie group G consists of a smooth action λ on M together with a linear action b on V, such that $(\lambda,b):G\to\mathrm{Diff}^{\mathrm{eq}}_{\mathrm{ex}}(M,\omega)\subset\mathrm{Diff}(M)\times\mathrm{GL}(V)$. It determines an abelian Lie group extension $\hat G$ of G by A integrating the Lie algebra 2–cocycle $(X,Y)\mapsto-\omega(\dot\lambda(X),\dot\lambda(Y))(x_0)$ on $\mathfrak{g}$, where $x_0\in M$ is fixed and $\dot\lambda:\mathfrak{g}\to\mathfrak{X}(M)$ denotes the infinitesimal action. This extension is obtained by pulling back the prequantization abelian extension (4). The G–action lifts to a smooth $\hat G$–action on P by equi-quantomorphisms. Examples include abelian extensions of the group of diffeomorphisms of S^1 or S^2, and abelian extensions of the group of volume preserving diffeomorphisms of a compact manifold.

Every abelian Lie group extension $1\to A\to\hat G\to G\to 1$ of a simply connected Lie group G by a G–module $A=V/\Gamma$ can be obtained in this way. Let $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}=\mathfrak{g}\ltimes_\sigma V$ be the corresponding abelian Lie algebra extension, which is defined by the V–valued 2–cocycle σ on $\mathfrak{g}$, and let $p:\hat{\mathfrak{g}}\to V$ denote the projection on the second factor. Then $\hat G$ is a principal A-bundle with principal connection $\theta=p^{\mathrm{eq}}$, the $\hat G$–equivariant V–valued 1–form on $\hat G$ with identity value p, and with curvature $\omega=-\sigma^{\mathrm{eq}}$, the closed G–equivariant V–valued 2–form on G with identity value $-\sigma$. Let λ denote the left translation on G and b the linear G–action on V induced by the G–module structure of A. Then the G–action (λ,b) is equi-hamiltonian and determines the given abelian Lie group extension of G.

The author thanks Karl-Hermann Neeb for many very useful comments and suggestions.

2 Flux 1–cocycle

Let M be a connected smoothly paracompact manifold, possibly infinite dimensional, and ω a closed 2–form on M with values in a Mackey complete locally convex space V. The Lie algebra of ω–*equivariant vector fields* on M,

$$\mathfrak{X}^{\mathrm{eq}}(M,\omega) := \{(\eta,\gamma) \in \mathfrak{X}(M) \times \mathfrak{gl}(V) : L_\eta\omega = \gamma \cdot \omega\},$$

with Lie bracket

$$[(\eta_1,\gamma_1),(\eta_2,\gamma_2)] = (-[\eta_1,\eta_2], \gamma_1\gamma_2 - \gamma_2\gamma_1), \tag{6}$$

is a Lie subalgebra of the direct product Lie algebra $\mathfrak{X}(M) \times \mathfrak{gl}(V)$. We take the negative sign convention (as in the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{X}(M)$ of the group of diffeomorphisms of M). The Lie algebra of ω–invariant vector fields with the opposite bracket sits in $\mathfrak{X}^{\mathrm{eq}}(M,\omega)$ as a Lie subalgebra

$$\iota : \mathfrak{X}(M,\omega) \to \mathfrak{X}^{\mathrm{eq}}(M,\omega), \quad \iota(\eta) = (\eta, 0).$$

Remark 1. Let V_0 be the closure of the image of $\omega : TM \times_M TM \to V$. The restriction of γ to V_0 is uniquely determined by η. When $V_0 = V$, then γ is determined by η and one can identify the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{X}^{\mathrm{eq}}(M,\omega)$ with its image on the first factor: $\{\eta \in \mathfrak{X}(M) : \exists\gamma \in \mathfrak{gl}(V) \text{ s.t. } L_\eta\omega = \gamma\cdot\omega\}$. When $V_0 \neq V$, an ω–invariant vector field η can determine other ω–equivariant vector fields beside $(\eta,0)$, namely (η,γ) with $\gamma|_{V_0} = 0$.

Remark 2. The period group Γ of the closed V-valued 2–form ω is the image of the homomorphism $H_2(M,\mathbb{R}) \to V$ determined by integrating ω. It is a subgroup of V_0 and for any $(\eta,\gamma) \in \mathfrak{X}^{\mathrm{eq}}(M,\omega)$ the restriction of γ to Γ is trivial. Indeed, for any 2–cycle σ in M, $\gamma(\int_\sigma \omega) = \int_\sigma L_\eta\omega = 0$. In particular $\gamma = 0$ if V is generated by Γ, so $\iota(\mathfrak{X}(M,\omega)) = \mathfrak{X}^{\mathrm{eq}}(M,\omega)$ in this case. For a closed $\mathbb{R}$–valued 2–form ω, the Lie algebra of ω–equivariant vector fields is strictly bigger than the Lie algebra of ω–invariant vector fields if and only if $\Gamma = 0$, i.e. ω is exact.

The projection on the second factor,

$$(\eta,\gamma) \in \mathfrak{X}^{\mathrm{eq}}(M,\omega) \mapsto \gamma \in \mathfrak{gl}(V), \tag{7}$$

is a Lie algebra homomorphism, so V becomes a $\mathfrak{X}^{\mathrm{eq}}(M,\omega)$–module in a natural way. Restricted to the image $\iota(\mathfrak{X}(M,\omega))$, the action is trivial.

If the period group $\Gamma \subset V$ of ω is discrete, then $A = V/\Gamma$ is an abelian Lie group with abelian Lie algebra V, and there exists a principal A–bundle $q : P \to M$ with connection form $\theta \in \Omega^1(P,V)$ and curvature form $\omega \in \Omega^2(M,V)$. Let $\exp : V \to A$ be the canonical projection, ρ the principal A–action and $\dot\rho : V \to \mathfrak{X}(P)$ its infinitesimal action. In particular $d\theta = q^*\omega$ and $i_{\dot\rho(v)}\theta = v$ for all $v \in V$.

Proposition 3. *The linear map*

$$\mathrm{flux}^{\mathrm{eq}} : (\eta,\gamma) \in \mathfrak{X}^{\mathrm{eq}}(M,\omega) \mapsto [q^* i_\eta\omega - \gamma\cdot\theta] \in H^1(P,V) \tag{8}$$

is a Lie algebra 1–cocycle for the natural $\mathfrak{X}^{\mathrm{eq}}(M,\omega)$*–module structure on* $H^1(P,V)$ *induced by the* $\mathfrak{X}^{\mathrm{eq}}(M,\omega)$*–action* (7) *on* V*.*

Its cohomology class $[\mathrm{flux}^{\mathrm{eq}}] \in H^1(\mathfrak{X}^{\mathrm{eq}}(M,\omega), H^1(P,V))$ *is independent of the choice of the connection* θ*.*

Proof. The 1–form $q^* i_\eta \omega - \gamma\cdot\theta$ on P is closed for any ω–equivariant vector field (η,γ) because $L_\eta\omega = \gamma\cdot\omega$ and $q^*\omega = d\theta$. For $(\eta_1,\gamma_1),(\eta_2,\gamma_2) \in \mathfrak{X}^{\mathrm{eq}}(M,\omega)$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{flux}^{\mathrm{eq}}([(\eta_1,\gamma_1),(\eta_2,\gamma_2)]) &= -[q^* i_{[\eta_1,\eta_2]}\omega + (\gamma_1\gamma_2 - \gamma_2\gamma_1)\cdot\theta]\\ &= [d(q^*\omega(\eta_1,\eta_2)) + q^* i_{\eta_2} L_{\eta_1}\omega - q^* i_{\eta_1} L_{\eta_2}\omega - \gamma_1\gamma_2\cdot\theta + \gamma_2\gamma_1\cdot\theta]\\ &= [q^* i_{\eta_2}(\gamma_1\cdot\omega) - \gamma_1\gamma_2\cdot\theta] - [q^* i_{\eta_1}(\gamma_2\cdot\omega) - \gamma_2\gamma_1\cdot\theta]\\ &= \gamma_1\cdot\mathrm{flux}^{\mathrm{eq}}(\eta_2,\gamma_2) - \gamma_2\cdot\mathrm{flux}^{\mathrm{eq}}(\eta_1,\gamma_1),\end{aligned}$$

so the 1–cocycle condition for $\mathrm{flux}^{\mathrm{eq}}$ is satisfied for the natural $\mathfrak{X}^{\mathrm{eq}}(M,\omega)$–action.

Two connection 1–forms on P differ by the pull-back $q^*\alpha$ of a closed V–valued 1–form α on M. Then the corresponding flux 1–cocycles differ by the linear map $(\eta,\gamma)\mapsto\gamma\cdot[q^*\alpha]$, which is a 1–coboundary on the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{X}^{\mathrm{eq}}(M,\omega)$. □

The 1–cocycle $\mathrm{flux}^{\mathrm{eq}}$ is called *the infinitesimal flux 1–cocycle.* Its kernel, denoted by $\mathfrak{X}^{\mathrm{eq}}_{\mathrm{ex}}(M,\omega)$, is a Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{X}^{\mathrm{eq}}(M,\omega)$ and is called the Lie algebra of *equi-hamiltonian vector fields* on (M,ω). We say that $h \in C^\infty(P,V)$ is an *equi-hamiltonian function* for the equi-hamiltonian vector field $(\eta,\gamma)\in\mathfrak{X}^{\mathrm{eq}}_{\mathrm{ex}}(M,\omega)$ if

$$q^* i_\eta\omega - \gamma\cdot\theta = dh. \tag{9}$$

Remark 4. The *infinitesimal flux homomorphism* is defined on the Lie algebra of ω–invariant vector fields $\mathfrak{X}(M,\omega)$ by

$$\mathrm{flux} : \eta \in \mathfrak{X}(M,\omega) \mapsto [i_\eta\omega] \in H^1(M,V).$$

The kernel $\mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{ex}}(M,\omega)$ of flux is the ideal of exact ω–invariant vector fields (hamiltonian vector fields when ω is symplectic). Because $\mathrm{flux}^{\mathrm{eq}}\circ\iota = q^*\circ\mathrm{flux}$, the inclusion ι descends to an inclusion $\iota : \mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{ex}}(M,\omega)\to\mathfrak{X}^{\mathrm{eq}}_{\mathrm{ex}}(M,\omega)$.

Not every smooth V–valued function on P can play the role of an equi-hamiltonian function. We denote by $C^\infty_{\mathrm{adm}}(P,V)$ the space of all possible equi-hamiltonian functions, also called *admissible functions.*

Proposition 5. *If* $h\in C^\infty_{\mathrm{adm}}(P,V)$ *is an equi-hamiltonian function for the equi-hamiltonian vector field* (η,γ)*, then there exists a group homomorphism* $\bar\gamma : A\to V$ *with* $\bar\gamma\circ\exp=\gamma$*, such that for all* $a\in A$ *and* $v\in V$*:*

1. $L_{\dot\rho(v)}h = -\gamma(v)$.

2. $h - h\circ\rho(a) = \bar\gamma(a)$.

Proof. Since h is an equi-hamiltonian function for the equi-hamiltonian vector field (η,γ), we have that $dh = q^* i_\eta \omega - \gamma \cdot \theta$. Then from $L_{\dot\rho(v)} h = i_{\dot\rho(v)} dh = -\gamma(v)$ we get the identity 1.

From $q \circ \rho(a) = q$ and $\rho(a)^*\theta = \theta$ follows that $\rho(a)^* dh = dh$, so $h - h \circ \rho(a)$ is a constant function on the connected manifold P. This assures the existence of a group homomorphism $\bar\gamma : A \to V$ satisfying the identity 2. From that we easily get that $\bar\gamma \circ \exp \in \mathfrak{gl}(V)$. To show that $\bar\gamma \circ \exp = \gamma$, we differentiate at $t = 0$ the identity $h - h \circ \rho(\exp tv) = \bar\gamma(\exp tv)$ for $v \in V$, and we obtain that $L_{\dot\rho(v)} h = -T_1\bar\gamma(v)$. Together with identity 1, this gives $\gamma = T_1\bar\gamma = T_0(\bar\gamma \circ \exp) = \bar\gamma \circ \exp$. □

Defining the space of *almost A–invariant functions* as

$$C^\infty_A(P,V) = \{h \in C^\infty(P,V) : \forall a \in A, h - h \circ \rho(a) = \text{ constant on } P\}, \qquad (10)$$

the proposition above says that $C^\infty_{\text{adm}}(P,V) \subset C^\infty_A(P,V)$. It follows that there exists $\gamma_h \in \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ uniquely determined by the almost A–invariant function h such that $L_{\dot\rho(v)} h = -\gamma_h(v)$ for all $v \in V$.

The group of *ω–equivariant diffeomorphisms*

$$\mathrm{Diff}^{\mathrm{eq}}(M,\omega) := \{(\varphi,u) \in \mathrm{Diff}(M) \times \mathrm{GL}(V) : \varphi^*\omega = u \cdot \omega\}$$

is a subgroup of the direct product group $\mathrm{Diff}(M) \times \mathrm{GL}(V)$. The second projection $(\varphi,u) \in \mathrm{Diff}^{\mathrm{eq}}(M,\omega) \mapsto u \in \mathrm{GL}(V)$ is a group homomorphism, so V becomes a natural $\mathrm{Diff}^{\mathrm{eq}}(M,\omega)$–module.

The group of ω–equivariant diffeomorphisms contains the group of ω–invariant diffeomorphisms as a subgroup via the injective homomorphism

$$i : \mathrm{Diff}(M,\omega) \to \mathrm{Diff}^{\mathrm{eq}}(M,\omega), \quad i(\varphi) = (\varphi, 1_V).$$

The restriction of the second component $u \in \mathrm{GL}(V)$ of the ω–equivariant diffeomorphism (φ,u) to $V_0 \subseteq V$ (defined in Remark 1) is determined by its first component $\varphi \in \mathrm{Diff}(M)$.

When $V_0 = V$, then one identifies $\mathrm{Diff}^{\mathrm{eq}}(M,\omega)$ with its projection on the first factor, the group $\{\varphi \in \mathrm{Diff}(M) : \exists u \in \mathrm{GL}(V) \text{ s.t. } \varphi^*\omega = u \cdot \omega\}$. This is the case for a closed $\mathbb{R}^2$–valued 2–form $\omega = (\omega_1,\omega_2)$ on a compact manifold M with $\omega_1 \neq 0$ and $\omega_2 \neq 0$ (so $V_0 = V = \mathbb{R}^2$). E.g. the flow of the ω–equivariant vector field η satisfying $L_\eta\omega_1 = -\omega_2$ and $L_\eta\omega_2 = \omega_1$, if it exists, is a 1–parameter subgroup φ_t of ω–equivariant diffeomorphisms of M satisfying $\varphi_t^*\omega_1 = (\cos t)\omega_1 - (\sin t)\omega_2$ and $\varphi_t^*\omega_2 = (\sin t)\omega_1 + (\cos t)\omega_2$.

Remark 6. There is a natural $(\mathrm{Diff}(M) \times \mathrm{GL}(V))$–action on the vector space $\Omega^2(M,V)$ of V–valued 2–forms on M:

$$(\varphi,u) \cdot \omega = u \cdot ((\varphi^{-1})^*\omega)$$

with infinitesimal action of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{X}(M) \times \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ with Lie bracket (6) given by

$$(\eta, \gamma) \cdot \omega = -L_\eta \omega + \gamma \cdot \omega.$$

The isotropy group of a closed 2–form $\omega \in \Omega^2(M, V)$ coincides with the group of ω–equivariant diffeomorphisms $\mathrm{Diff}^{\mathrm{eq}}(M, \omega)$, and its isotropy Lie algebra coincides with the Lie algebra of ω–equivariant vector fields $\mathfrak{X}^{\mathrm{eq}}(M, \omega)$.

A curve φ in $\mathrm{Diff}(M)$ is called a *smooth curve* if the corresponding map $(t, x) \mapsto (\varphi(t)(x), \varphi(t)^{-1}(x))$ in $M \times M$ is smooth. Similarly a curve u in $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ is smooth if the map $(t, v) \mapsto (u(t)(v), u(t)^{-1}(v))$ in $V \times V$ is smooth. Let $\mathrm{Diff}^{\mathrm{eq}}(M, \omega)_0$ be the normal subgroup of those elements in $\mathrm{Diff}^{\mathrm{eq}}(M, \omega)$ which can be connected to the identity by a smooth curve in $\mathrm{Diff}^{\mathrm{eq}}(M, \omega) \subset \mathrm{Diff}(M) \times \mathrm{GL}(V)$.

Remark 7. The abelian group $A = V/\Gamma$ is a natural $\mathrm{Diff}^{\mathrm{eq}}(M, \omega)_0$–module. Indeed, for any 2–cycle σ in M and for any $(\varphi, u) \in \mathrm{Diff}^{\mathrm{eq}}(M, \omega)_0$, the 2–cycles σ and $\varphi(\sigma)$ are homologous, so $u(\int_\sigma \omega) = \int_\sigma \varphi^*\omega = \int_\sigma \omega$ and u fixes the elements of the period group Γ. In particular u descends to a group automorphism $\bar{u}$ of A, and the $\mathrm{Diff}^{\mathrm{eq}}(M)$–action on V descends to an action on the abelian group A. If V is generated by Γ, then $u = 1_V$ and φ is ω–invariant for all ω–equivariant diffeomorphisms (φ, u).

Proposition 8. *The following equivalences hold for smooth paths φ_t in $\mathrm{Diff}(M)$ and u_t in $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ starting at the identity: $(\varphi_t, u_t) \in \mathrm{Diff}^{\mathrm{eq}}(M, \omega) \Leftrightarrow (\delta^l \varphi_t, \delta^l u_t) \in \mathfrak{X}^{\mathrm{eq}}(M, \omega) \Leftrightarrow (\delta^r \varphi_t, \delta^r u_t) \in \mathfrak{X}^{\mathrm{eq}}(M, \omega)$.*

This follows from Remark 31 in the Appendix, where also the left and right derivative δ^l and δ^r are defined. In particular if the flow of an ω–equivariant vector field (η, γ) exists, then it consists of ω–equivariant diffeomorphisms.

Lemma 9. *For any loop ℓ in P and any smooth path of ω–equivariant diffeomorphisms (φ_t, u_t) starting at the identity, we define the 2–chain σ in M by $\sigma(t, s) = \varphi_t(q(\ell(s)))$, $t, s \in [0, 1]$, swept out by the loop $q \circ \ell$ in M under the isotopy φ_t. Then*

$$\int_\ell \int_0^1 u_t \cdot (q^* i_{\delta^l \varphi_t} \omega - \delta^l u_t \cdot \theta) dt = \int_\sigma \omega - u \cdot \int_\ell \theta + \int_\ell \theta.$$

Proof. Using $\varphi_t^* \omega = u_t \cdot \omega$, we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^1 \Big(u_t \cdot \int_\ell q^* i_{\delta^l \varphi_t} \omega \Big) dt &= \int_0^1 u_t \cdot \Big(\int_0^1 (q^* i_{\delta^l \varphi_t} \omega)(\dot{\ell}(s)) ds \Big) dt \\
&= \int_0^1 \int_0^1 u_t \cdot \omega(\delta^l \varphi_t(q(\ell(s))), Tq.\dot{\ell}(s)) ds dt \\
&= \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \omega(\dot{\varphi}_t(q(\ell(s))), T\varphi_t.Tq.\dot{\ell}(s)) ds dt = \int_\sigma \omega
\end{aligned}$$

and the result follows. □

The quotient space $H^1(P,V)/H^1(P,\Gamma)$ is a natural $\mathrm{Diff}^{\mathrm{eq}}(M,\omega)_0$–module because, as we have seen in Remark 7, $\mathrm{Diff}^{\mathrm{eq}}(M,\omega)_0$ acts trivially on $\Gamma\subset V$, hence it acts trivially on $H^1(P,\Gamma)\subset H^1(P,V)$.

The map

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Flux}^{\mathrm{eq}}&: \mathrm{Diff}^{\mathrm{eq}}(M,\omega)_0\to H^1(P,V)/H^1(P,\Gamma)\\ \mathrm{Flux}^{\mathrm{eq}}(\varphi,u)&=\int_0^1 u_t\cdot \mathrm{flux}^{\mathrm{eq}}(\delta^l\varphi_t,\delta^l u_t)dt \mod H^1(P,\Gamma)\\ &=\Big[\int_0^1 u_t\cdot(q^*i_{\delta^l\varphi_t}\omega-\delta^l u_t\cdot\theta)dt\Big] \mod H^1(P,\Gamma),\end{aligned}\tag{11}$$

for any piecewise smooth path of ω–equivariant diffeomorphisms (φ_t,u_t) from the identity to (φ,u), is a well defined group 1–cocycle, called *the flux 1–cocycle* associated to the closed vector valued form ω with discrete period group Γ.

The map $\mathrm{Flux}^{\mathrm{eq}}$ is well defined because Lemma 9 implies that for a loop (φ_t,u_t) of ω–equivariant diffeomorphisms based at the identity, the integral over a loop ℓ in P of the 1–form $\int_0^1 u_t\cdot(q^*i_{\delta^l\varphi_t}\omega-\delta^l u_t\cdot\theta)dt$ is the integral of ω over a 2–cycle σ, hence it belongs to the group Γ of periods of ω. The 1–cocycle condition for $\mathrm{Flux}^{\mathrm{eq}}$ is verified as in Proposition 34 from the Appendix.

Remark 10. The *flux homomorphism* associated to a closed vector valued 2–form ω is defined by

$$\begin{gathered}\mathrm{Flux}:\mathrm{Diff}(M,\omega)_0\to H^1(M,V)/H^1(M,\Gamma)\\ \mathrm{Flux}(\varphi)=\int_0^1[i_{\delta^r\varphi_t}\omega]dt \mod H^1(M,\Gamma)=\int_0^1[i_{\delta^l\varphi_t}\omega]dt \mod H^1(M,\Gamma)\end{gathered}\tag{12}$$

for any smooth curve φ_t in $\mathrm{Diff}(M,\omega)$ connecting the identity and φ. The group $\mathrm{Diff}_{\mathrm{ex}}(M,\omega)=(\mathrm{Ker\,Flux})_0$ is called the group of *exact ω–invariant diffeomorphisms.* For a symplectic manifold (M,ω), the *symplectic flux homomorphism* S_ω is obtained by the factorization of a smaller subgroup, $\Pi\subseteq H^1(M,\Gamma)$, called the flux subgroup, so $S_\omega:\mathrm{Diff}(M,\omega)_0\to H^1(M,\mathbb{R})/\Pi$. In this case the group of hamiltonian diffeomorphisms $\mathrm{Ham}(M,\omega)$ coincides with $\mathrm{Diff}_{\mathrm{ex}}(M,\omega)$, because $\mathrm{Ker}\,S_\omega=(\mathrm{Ker\,Flux})_0$ [NV03].

The group $\mathrm{Diff}^{\mathrm{eq}}_{\mathrm{ex}}(M,\omega)=(\mathrm{Ker\,Flux}^{\mathrm{eq}})_0$ is called the group of *equi-hamiltonian diffeomorphisms.* The flux 1–cocycle $\mathrm{Flux}^{\mathrm{eq}}$ and the flux homomorphism Flux are related by $\mathrm{Flux}^{\mathrm{eq}}\circ i=q^*\circ\mathrm{Flux}$, hence i descends to an injective homomorphism $\mathrm{Diff}_{\mathrm{ex}}(M,\omega))\to\mathrm{Diff}^{\mathrm{eq}}_{\mathrm{ex}}(M,\omega)$.

The next proposition follows from Corollary 37 in the Appendix.

Proposition 11. *For any piecewise smooth path of ω–equivariant diffeomorphisms (φ_t,u_t), we have $(\varphi_t,u_t)\in\mathrm{Diff}^{\mathrm{eq}}_{\mathrm{ex}}(M,\omega)\Leftrightarrow(\delta^l\varphi_t,\delta^l u_t)\in\mathfrak{X}^{\mathrm{eq}}_{\mathrm{ex}}(M,\omega)\Leftrightarrow(\delta^r\varphi_t,\delta^r u_t)\in\mathfrak{X}^{\mathrm{eq}}_{\mathrm{ex}}(M,\omega)$.*

Remark 12. In the special case when $\omega = d\alpha$ for an $\alpha \in \Omega^1(M,V)$ (in particular the period group $\Gamma = 0$), the flux homomorphism is given by $\mathrm{Flux}(\varphi) = [\varphi^*\alpha - \alpha] \in H^1(M,V)$. To compute the flux 1–cocycle $\mathrm{Flux}^{\mathrm{eq}}$ in this case, let $P = M \times V \xrightarrow{q} M$ be the trivial V-bundle with principal connection 1–form $\theta = q^*\alpha + \theta_V$ and curvature ω, where $\theta_V = \delta^l(1_V) \in \Omega^1(V,V)$ stands for the Maurer-Cartan form on V. We get

$$\mathrm{Flux}^{\mathrm{eq}} : \mathrm{Diff}^{\mathrm{eq}}(M,\omega) \to H^1(P,V), \quad \mathrm{Flux}^{\mathrm{eq}}(\varphi,u) = q^*[\varphi^*\alpha - u \cdot \alpha].$$

Indeed, let (φ_t, u_t) be a path of ω–equivariant diffeomorphisms joining the identity and (φ, u). From $\frac{d}{dt}[\varphi_t^*\alpha] = [u_t \cdot i_{\delta^l\varphi_t}\omega]$ we obtain $\frac{d}{dt}[q^*\varphi_t^*\alpha - u_t \cdot \theta] = u_t \cdot [q^* i_{\delta^l\varphi_t}\omega - \delta^l u_t \cdot \theta]$. Integrating this cohomology class from 0 to 1 gives the expression of the flux cocycle $\mathrm{Flux}^{\mathrm{eq}}(\varphi,u) = q^*[\varphi^*\alpha - u \cdot \alpha]$, because $\theta - q^*\alpha = \theta_V$ is an exact 1–form on P. In this case the group of equi-hamiltonian diffeomorphisms is $\mathrm{Diff}^{\mathrm{eq}}_{\mathrm{ex}}(M,\omega) = \{(\varphi,u) \in \mathrm{Diff}(M) \times \mathrm{GL}(V) : \varphi^*\alpha - u \cdot \alpha \text{ exact}\}$.

3 Infinitesimal equi-quantomorphisms and prequantization

Appropriate prequantization procedures have been developed for symplectic, presymplectic, Poisson and also Dirac manifolds [WZ05]. In this section we suggest a prequantization procedure for a closed vector valued 2–form in the equivariant setting.

We consider again a principal A-bundle $q : P \to M$ for the abelian Lie group $A = V/\Gamma$, with principal A–action ρ, infinitesimal action $\dot\rho : V \to \mathfrak{X}(P)$, principal connection $\theta \in \Omega^1(P,V)$ and curvature $\omega \in \Omega^2(M,V)$. By definition, the horizontal lift of a vector field $\eta \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ is the unique vector field η^{hor}, q-related to η, satisfying $i_{\eta^{\mathrm{hor}}}\theta = 0$.

Infinitesimal quantomorphisms

A vector field $\xi \in \mathfrak{X}(P)$ is called *projectable* if it is q-related to a vector field $\eta \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$, and we denote $\eta = q_*\xi$. Projectable vector fields can be characterized by $Tq \circ \xi \circ \rho(a) = Tq \circ \xi$ for all $a \in A$. The Lie algebra of vertical vector fields on P is a Lie subalgebra of the Lie algebra of projectable vector fields.

To every function $h \in C^\infty(P,V)$ one associates the vertical vector field $\dot\rho(h)$ on P by $\dot\rho(h)(y) = \dot\rho(h(y))(y)$. We endow $C^\infty(P,V)$ with a Lie bracket such that the injective mapping $\dot\rho : C^\infty(P,V) \to \mathfrak{X}(P)$ becomes a Lie algebra homomorphism for the opposite Lie bracket on $\mathfrak{X}(P)$. This leads to

$$[h_1, h_2] = L_{\dot\rho(h_2)}h_1 - L_{\dot\rho(h_1)}h_2, \tag{13}$$

because $i_{[\dot\rho(h_1),\dot\rho(h_2)]}\theta = L_{\dot\rho(h_1)}h_2 - L_{\dot\rho(h_2)}h_1$. The Lie algebra of projectable vector fields $\mathfrak{X}_{\text{proj}}(P)$ is a non-abelian Lie algebra extension of $\mathfrak{X}(M)$

$$0 \to C^\infty(P,V) \xrightarrow{\dot\rho} \mathfrak{X}_{\text{proj}}(P) \xrightarrow{q_*} \mathfrak{X}(M) \to 0. \tag{14}$$

The bracket (13) on pull-back functions q^*f for $f \in C^\infty(M,V)$ vanishes, so $C^\infty(M,V)$ is an abelian Lie subalgebra of $C^\infty(P,V)$. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{X}(P)^A$ of *A–invariant vector fields* on P (infinitesimal automorphisms of P) consists of vector fields ξ such that $\rho(a)^*\xi = \xi$ for all $a \in A$, or equivalently $L_{\dot\rho(v)}\xi = 0$ for all $v \in V$. Restricting (14) to the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{X}(P)^A$ we obtain an abelian Lie algebra extension

$$0 \to C^\infty(M,V) \xrightarrow{\dot\rho} \mathfrak{X}(P)^A \xrightarrow{q_*} \mathfrak{X}(M) \to 0 \tag{15}$$

defined by the curvature form ω on M viewed as a Lie algebra 2–cocycle on $\mathfrak{X}(M)$ with values in the $\mathfrak{X}(M)$–module $C^\infty(M,V)$.

The Lie algebra of *infinitesimal quantomorphisms* is

$$\mathfrak{X}(P,\theta)^A = \{\xi \in \mathfrak{X}(P)^A : L_\xi\theta = 0\} = \{\xi \in \mathfrak{X}_{\text{proj}}(P) : L_\xi\theta = 0\}.$$

We check the non-trivial inclusion: if $q_*\xi = \eta$ and $L_\xi\theta = 0$, then $[\xi, \dot\rho(v)] = 0$ for all $v \in V$ because it is a vertical vector field (q-related to $[\eta, 0] = 0$) and $i_{[\xi,\dot\rho(v)]}\theta = L_\xi v = 0$, so $\xi \in \mathfrak{X}(P)^A$.

Restricting (15) further to $\mathfrak{X}(P,\theta)^A$, we get the central extension

$$0 \to V \xrightarrow{\dot\rho} \mathfrak{X}(P,\theta)^A \xrightarrow{q_*} \mathfrak{X}_{\text{ex}}(M,\omega) \to 0, \tag{16}$$

the vector valued analog of the prequantization Lie algebra central extension. Indeed, let $\xi \in \mathfrak{X}(P,\theta)^A$. Both ξ and $\theta \in \Omega^1(P,V)$ being A–invariant, the function $i_\xi\theta \in C^\infty(P,V)$ is A–invariant too, hence it descends to a function $q_*i_\xi\theta$ on M. Now $L_\xi\theta = 0$ and $q_*\xi = \eta$ imply $i_\eta\omega = d(-q_*i_\xi\theta)$, so ξ is q-related to the hamiltonian vector field η. On the other hand $\dot\rho(h) \in \mathfrak{X}(P,\theta)^A$ implies $0 = L_{\dot\rho(h)}\theta = dh$, so the only vertical infinitesimal quantomorphisms are of the form $\dot\rho(v)$, $v \in V$. The Lie algebra cohomology class describing this extension is the class of the 2–cocycle on $\mathfrak{X}_{\text{ex}}(M,\omega)$ given by $(\eta_1,\eta_2) \mapsto -\omega(\eta_1,\eta_2)(x_0)$, $x_0 \in M$.

A function $f \in C^\infty(M,V)$ is a hamiltonian function for the vector field η_f if $i_{\eta_f}\omega = df$, so the hamiltonian functions on M have to be constant along the leaves of $\operatorname{Ker}\omega \subset TM$. They form the subspace of *admissible functions* $C^\infty_{\text{adm}}(M,V)$. A hamiltonian vector field associated to such an admissible function f can be determined only up to a section in $\Gamma(\operatorname{Ker}\omega)$.

Remark 13. The linear map

$$\xi \in \mathfrak{X}(P,\theta)^A \mapsto -q_*i_\xi\theta \in C^\infty_{\text{adm}}(M,V) \tag{17}$$

is surjective. Indeed, given an admissible function f, there exists a hamiltonian vector field η_f, and the vector field $\xi_f = \eta_f^{\mathrm{hor}} - \dot\rho(q^*f)$ is an infinitesimal quantomorphism with $i_{\xi_f}\theta = -q^*f$. The kernel of (17) is $\Gamma(\operatorname{Ker}\omega)^{\mathrm{hor}}$.

In the symplectic case ($\operatorname{Ker}\omega = 0$ and $A = \mathbb{T}$) we have $C^\infty_{\mathrm{adm}}(M) = C^\infty(M)$ and the hamiltonian vector field η_f is uniquely determined by its hamiltonian function f. The linear map (17) is a bijection with inverse

$$f \in C^\infty(M) \mapsto \xi_f := \eta_f^{\mathrm{hor}} - (q^*f)E \in \mathfrak{X}(P,\theta)^A, \tag{18}$$

$E = \dot\rho(1)$ denoting the infinitesimal generator of the circle action on P. This is the symplectic prequantization, in the construction due to Souriau, which associates to each function $f \in C^\infty(M)$ the infinitesimal quantomorphism ξ_f on P [S70].

Infinitesimal equi-quantomorphisms

For the equivariant setting we observe that the space $C^\infty_A(P,V)$ of almost A–invariant functions defined in (10) endowed with the Lie bracket

$$[h_1,h_2] = \gamma_{h_2}\circ h_1 - \gamma_{h_1}\circ h_2, \tag{19}$$

is a Lie subalgebra of $C^\infty(P,V)$ with Lie bracket (13), because $L_{\dot\rho(h_1)}h_2 = -\gamma_{h_2}\circ h_1$ for $h_1,h_2 \in C^\infty_A(P,V)$. On the other hand

$$\mathfrak{X}^{\mathrm{eq}}(P)^A = \{\xi \in \mathfrak{X}(P) : \exists\gamma \in \mathfrak{gl}(V) \text{ s.t. } \forall v \in V, L_{\dot\rho(v)}\xi = \dot\rho(\gamma(v))\} \tag{20}$$

is the Lie algebra of *almost A–invariant vector fields*. Its characterization as

$$\mathfrak{X}^{\mathrm{eq}}(P)^A = \{\xi \in \mathfrak{X}(P) : \exists\bar\gamma : A \to V \text{ s.t. } \forall a \in A, \rho(a)^*\xi - \xi = \dot\rho(\bar\gamma(a))\} \tag{21}$$

can be deduced from the identity

$$\tfrac{d}{dt}(\rho(\exp tv)^*\xi - \xi - \dot\rho(\bar\gamma(\exp tv))) = \rho(\exp tv)^*(L_{\dot\rho(v)}\xi - \dot\rho(\gamma(v))),$$

$\bar\gamma$ being a group homomorphism with $\bar\gamma\circ\exp = \gamma$.

Every almost A–invariant vector field is projectable, since applying Tq to the characterizing relation in (21) we get $Tq\circ\xi\circ\rho(a) = Tq\circ\xi$ for all $a \in A$. We restrict the extension (14) to the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{X}^{\mathrm{eq}}(P)^A$ of almost A–invariant vector fields, obtaining a new Lie algebra extension

$$0 \to C^\infty_A(P,V) \xrightarrow{\dot\rho} \mathfrak{X}^{\mathrm{eq}}(P)^A \xrightarrow{q_*} \mathfrak{X}(M) \to 0, \tag{22}$$

with $C^\infty_A(P,V)$ the space of almost A–invariant functions defined in (10). This can be seen as follows: for an arbitrary function $h \in C^\infty(P,V)$, the necessary and sufficient condition for the vertical vector field $\dot\rho(h)$ to be almost A–invariant is $L_{\dot\rho(v)}\dot\rho(h) = \dot\rho(\gamma(v))$; but we know from (13) that $L_{\dot\rho(v)}\dot\rho(h) = -\dot\rho(L_{\dot\rho(v)}h)$, so the condition above becomes $L_{\dot\rho(v)}h = -\gamma(v)$, which means $h \in C^\infty_A(P,V)$.

To pass to an abelian extension by V, we have to consider the Lie algebra

$$\mathfrak{X}^{\mathrm{eq}}(P,\theta)^A = \{\xi \in \mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{proj}}(P) : \exists \gamma_\xi \in \mathfrak{gl}(V) \text{ s.t. } L_\xi\theta = \gamma_\xi \cdot \theta\}$$

of *infinitesimal equi-quantomorphisms.* The linear map $\gamma_\xi \in \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ is determined by ξ because $\gamma_\xi(v) = \gamma_\xi(i_{\dot\rho(v)}\theta) = i_{\dot\rho(v)}L_\xi\theta$. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{X}^{\mathrm{eq}}(P,\theta)^A$ contains as a Lie subalgebra the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{X}(P,\theta)^A$ of infinitesimal quantomorphisms.

The infinitesimal equi-quantomorphism ξ determines an ω–equivariant vector field $(q_*\xi, \gamma_\xi)$ on M. Moreover $(q_*\xi, \gamma_\xi)$ is an equi-hamiltonian vector field for the equi-hamiltonian function $h = -i_\xi\theta \in C^\infty_{\mathrm{adm}}(P,V)$, because

$$dh = -di_\xi\theta = i_\xi d\theta - L_\xi\theta = q^* i_{q_*\xi}\omega - \gamma_\xi \cdot \theta.$$

An equivariant version of Remark 13 holds.

Proposition 14. *The linear map*

$$\xi \in \mathfrak{X}^{\mathrm{eq}}(P,\theta)^A \mapsto -i_\xi\theta \in C^\infty_{\mathrm{adm}}(P,V). \tag{23}$$

is surjective with kernel $\Gamma(\operatorname{Ker}\omega)^{\mathrm{hor}}$.

Proof. Given $h \in C^\infty_{\mathrm{adm}}(P,V)$, let (η_h, γ_h) be an equi-hamiltonian vector field with equi-hamiltonian function h and let $\xi_h := \eta_h^{\mathrm{hor}} - \dot\rho(h)$. Then $\xi_h \in \mathfrak{X}^{\mathrm{eq}}(P,\theta)^A$ because $L_{\xi_h}\theta = L_{\eta_h^{\mathrm{hor}}}\theta - L_{\dot\rho(h)}\theta = q^* i_{\eta_h}\omega - dh = \gamma_h \cdot \theta$. The linear correspondence (23) is surjective since $-i_{\xi_h}\theta = h$.

Let $\xi \in \mathfrak{X}^{\mathrm{eq}}(P,\theta)^A$ be an element in the kernel of (23). Then ξ is a horizontal lift: there exists $\eta \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ such that $\xi = \eta^{\mathrm{hor}}$. But ξ is an infinitesimal quantomorphism, so $\gamma_\xi \cdot \theta = L_{\eta^{\mathrm{hor}}}\theta = i_{\eta^{\mathrm{hor}}}d\theta = q^* i_\eta\omega$. We get $\gamma_\xi = 0$, so $i_\eta\omega = 0$, which means $\eta \in \Gamma(\operatorname{Ker}\omega)$. ☐

The next proposition shows the inclusion $\mathfrak{X}^{\mathrm{eq}}(P,\theta)^A \subset \mathfrak{X}^{\mathrm{eq}}(P)^A$.

Proposition 15. *Any infinitesimal equi-quantomorphism with* $L_\xi\theta = \gamma_\xi \cdot \theta$ *satisfies* $L_{\dot\rho(v)}\xi = \dot\rho(\gamma_\xi(v))$ *for all* $v \in V$.

Proof. The infinitesimal equi-quantomorphism ξ is projectable and $\dot\rho(v)$ is vertical, so $L_{\dot\rho(v)}\xi = [\dot\rho(v), \xi]$ is also vertical. A short computation using $L_\xi\theta = \gamma_\xi \cdot \theta$ gives $i_{[\dot\rho(v),\xi]}\theta = \gamma_\xi(v)$. These two facts imply $L_{\dot\rho(v)}\xi = \dot\rho(\gamma_\xi(v))$. ☐

Theorem 16. *The Lie algebra of infinitesimal equi-quantomorphisms is an abelian extension of the Lie algebra* $\mathfrak{X}^{\mathrm{eq}}_{\mathrm{ex}}(M,\omega)$ *of equi-hamiltonian vector fields by the natural* $\mathfrak{X}^{\mathrm{eq}}_{\mathrm{ex}}(M,\omega)$*–module* V*. An abelian Lie algebra 2–cocycle on* $\mathfrak{X}^{\mathrm{eq}}_{\mathrm{ex}}(M,\omega)$ *defining this abelian extension is* $((\eta_1,\gamma_1),(\eta_2,\gamma_2)) \mapsto -\omega(\eta_1,\eta_2)(x_0)$*, for any fixed element* $x_0 \in M$.

Proof. We show that the following sequence of Lie algebras is exact:

$$0 \to V \xrightarrow{\dot\rho} \mathfrak{X}^{\mathrm{eq}}(P,\theta)^A \xrightarrow{p} \mathfrak{X}^{\mathrm{eq}}_{\mathrm{ex}}(M,\omega) \to 0, \tag{24}$$

where $p(\xi) = (q_*\xi, \gamma_\xi)$. The injectivity of $\dot\rho$ is clear. The surjectivity of p follows from the surjectivity of (23). The inclusion $\dot\rho(V) \subseteq \operatorname{Ker} p$ follows from $p \circ \dot\rho = 0$. For the reversed inclusion let $\xi \in \operatorname{Ker} p \subset \mathfrak{X}^{\mathrm{eq}}(P,\theta)^A$. Then $L_\xi\theta = 0$ and $\xi = \dot\rho(h)$ for some $h \in C^\infty(P,V)$. From $L_{\dot\rho(h)}\theta = dh$ and from the connectedness of P follows that $\xi \in \dot\rho(V)$. The induced action of $\mathfrak{X}^{\mathrm{eq}}_{\mathrm{ex}}(M,\omega)$ on V is the natural one because from Proposition 15 we get $[\dot\rho(v), \xi] = \dot\rho(\gamma_\xi(v))$ for all $v \in V$ and $\xi \in \mathfrak{X}^{\mathrm{eq}}(P,\theta)^A$.

We determine the 2–cocycle defined with the linear section s of (24) given by $s(\eta,\gamma) = \eta^{\mathrm{hor}} - \dot\rho(h)$, where h is the unique equi-hamiltonian function of the equi-hamiltonian vector field (η,γ) vanishing at a fixed point $y_0 \in q^{-1}(x_0)$. First we observe that given the equi-hamiltonian vector fields (η_1,γ_1) and (η_2,γ_2) with equi-hamiltonian functions h_1 and h_2 vanishing at y_0, the equi-hamiltonian function vanishing at y_0 for the bracket $(-[\eta_1,\eta_2], \gamma_1\gamma_2 - \gamma_2\gamma_1)$ is $\gamma_1 \circ h_2 - \gamma_2 \circ h_1 + q^*\omega(\eta_1,\eta_2) - \omega(\eta_1,\eta_2)(x_0)$. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} d(\gamma_1 \circ h_2 - \gamma_2 \circ h_1 + q^*\omega(\eta_1,\eta_2)) \\ &= \gamma_1 \cdot (q^* i_{\eta_2}\omega - \gamma_2 \cdot \theta) - \gamma_2 \cdot (q^* i_{\eta_1}\omega - \gamma_1 \cdot \theta) + q^* d i_{\eta_2} i_{\eta_1}\omega \\ &= q^*(i_{\eta_2} L_{\eta_1}\omega - i_{\eta_1} L_{\eta_2}\omega + d i_{\eta_2} i_{\eta_1}\omega) - (\gamma_1 \circ \gamma_2 - \gamma_2 \circ \gamma_1) \cdot \theta \\ &= -q^* i_{[\eta_1,\eta_2]}\omega - (\gamma_1 \circ \gamma_2 - \gamma_2 \circ \gamma_1) \cdot \theta. \end{aligned}$$

Since ω is the curvature of the principal bundle P, the identity $[\eta_1^{\mathrm{hor}}, \eta_2^{\mathrm{hor}}] - [\eta_1,\eta_2]^{\mathrm{hor}} = -\dot\rho(q^*\omega(\eta_1,\eta_2))$ holds by Proposition 5. The equi-hamiltonian functions h_1 and h_2 belong to $C^\infty_A(P,V)$, so by (19) we have $[\dot\rho(h_1), \dot\rho(h_2)] = \dot\rho(\gamma_2 \circ h_1 - \gamma_1 \circ h_2)$. Using also the fact that $[\dot\rho(h_1), \eta_2^{hor}] = -\dot\rho(L_{\eta_2^{hor}} h_1) = -\dot\rho(q^*\omega(\eta_1,\eta_2))$, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} [s(\eta_1,\gamma_1), s(\eta_2,\gamma_2)] - s([(\eta_1,\gamma_1),(\eta_2,\gamma_2)]) &= -[\eta_1^{\mathrm{hor}} - \dot\rho(h_1), \eta_2^{\mathrm{hor}} - \dot\rho(h_2)] \\ &\quad + [\eta_1,\eta_2]^{\mathrm{hor}} - \dot\rho(\gamma_2 \circ h_1 - \gamma_1 \circ h_2 - q^*\omega(\eta_1,\eta_2) + \omega(\eta_1,\eta_2)(x_0)) \\ &= [\dot\rho(h_1), \eta_2^{\mathrm{hor}}] - [\dot\rho(h_2), \eta_1^{\mathrm{hor}}] - [\dot\rho(h_1), \dot\rho(h_2)] \\ &\quad - \dot\rho(\gamma_2 \circ h_1) + \dot\rho(\gamma_1 \circ h_2) + 2\dot\rho(q^*\omega(\eta_1,\eta_2)) - \dot\rho(\omega(\eta_1,\eta_2)(x_0)) \\ &= -\dot\rho(\omega(\eta_1,\eta_2)(x_0)), \end{aligned}$$

thus obtaining a Lie algebra 2–cocycle for the abelian extension (24). ☐

Remark 17. Under the assumption $V = V_0$, the closure of the image of $\omega : TM \times_M TM \to V$, the Lie algebra of equi-hamiltonian vector fields can be identified with its projection on the first factor:

$$\mathfrak{X}^{\mathrm{eq}}_{\mathrm{ex}}(M,\omega) = \{\eta \in \mathfrak{X}(M) : \exists \gamma \in \mathfrak{gl}(V) \text{ s.t. } q^* i_\eta\omega - \gamma \cdot \theta \text{ exact}\}.$$

In this case the abelian extension (24) can be seen as a restriction of (22).

4 Prequantization abelian group extension

Given $f \in C^\infty(P, A)$ we denote by $\rho(f)$ the fiber preserving smooth map

$$y \in P \mapsto \rho(f)(y) = \rho(y, f(y)) \in P.$$

The space $C^\infty_{\text{fiber}}(P, P)$ of fiber preserving smooth maps has a monoid structure with respect to the composition of maps. So there is a unique monoid structure on $C^\infty(P, A)$ such that the bijective mapping $\rho : C^\infty(P, A) \to C^\infty_{\text{fiber}}(P, P)$ becomes an isomorphism, namely

$$(f_1 \cdot f_2)(y) = f_1(\rho(y, f_2(y)))f_2(y). \tag{25}$$

The image by ρ of the group of invertible elements $C^\infty(P, A)_{\text{inver}}$ in $C^\infty(P, A)$ is the group of invertible elements in $C^\infty_{\text{fiber}}(P, P)$, i.e. the group of fiber preserving diffeomorphisms of P.

The group $\text{Diff}_{\text{proj}}(P)$ of *projectable diffeomorphisms* is the group of diffeomorphisms of P which map fibers to fibers, i.e. those $\psi \in \text{Diff}(P)$ such that $q \circ \psi = \varphi \circ q$ for some $\varphi \in \text{Diff}(M)$. Projectable diffeomorphisms of P can be characterized by $q \circ \psi \circ \rho(a) = q \circ \psi$ for all $a \in A$. We write $\varphi = q_*\psi$ and the diffeomorphism φ belongs to $\text{Diff}(M)_{[P]}$, the group of diffeomorphisms preserving the isomorphism class $[P]$ of the principal bundle P. The exact sequence of groups

$$1 \to C^\infty(P, A)_{\text{inver}} \xrightarrow{\rho} \text{Diff}_{\text{proj}}(P) \xrightarrow{q_*} \text{Diff}(M)_{[P]} \to 1 \tag{26}$$

is a non-abelian group extension with infinitesimal version the non-abelian Lie algebra extension (14).

Invariant setting

Let $\text{Diff}(P)^A$ be the group of A–equivariant diffeomorphisms of P, i.e. automorphisms of the principal bundle P. An abelian extension is obtained by restricting the previous exact sequence to the subgroup $\text{Diff}(P)^A \subset \text{Diff}_{\text{proj}}(P)$:

$$1 \to C^\infty(M, A) \xrightarrow{\rho} \text{Diff}(P)^A \xrightarrow{q_*} \text{Diff}(M)_{[P]} \to 1,$$

with infinitesimal version the abelian Lie algebra extension (15). Indeed, $\rho(f)$ is A–equivariant if and only if f is A–invariant, so $f = \bar{f} \circ q$ for some $\bar{f} \in C^\infty(M, A)$.

A central extension can be obtained by a further restriction to the group of *quantomorphisms*

$$\text{Diff}(P, \theta)^A = \{\psi \in \text{Diff}(P)^A : \psi^*\theta = \theta\} = \{\psi \in \text{Diff}_{\text{proj}}(P) : \psi^*\theta = \theta\}.$$

The quantomorphisms of P descend to holonomy preserving diffeomorphisms on M. Denoting by $h(\ell) \in A$ the holonomy around a loop ℓ in M, let

$$\text{Hol}(M, \omega) = \{\varphi \in \text{Diff}(M) : \forall \ell \in C^\infty(S^1, M), h(\varphi \circ \ell) = h(\ell)\}$$

be the group of *holonomy preserving diffeomorphisms*. It is a subgroup of the group $\mathrm{Diff}(M,\omega)$ of ω-preserving diffeomorphisms. Similar to the prequantization central extension (1) due to [K70] [S70] [RS81], one has a prequantization central extension for a vector valued 2–form [NV03]:

$$1 \to A \xrightarrow{\rho} \mathrm{Diff}(P,\theta)^A \xrightarrow{q_*} \mathrm{Hol}(M,\omega) \to 1. \tag{27}$$

For this we use Proposition 33 in the Appendix. Given $f \in C^\infty(P,A)$, $\rho(f)^*\theta = \theta$ if and only if $\delta^l(f) = 0$, so f is a constant $\in A$. Passing to connected components of the identity we get the other prequantization central extension

$$1 \to A \xrightarrow{\rho} \mathrm{Diff}(P,\theta)_0^A \xrightarrow{q_*} \mathrm{Diff}_{\mathrm{ex}}(M,\omega) \to 1. \tag{28}$$

Equivariant setting

The group of *almost A–equivariant diffeomorphisms* of P is

$$\mathrm{Diff}^{\mathrm{eq}}(P)^A = \{\psi \in \mathrm{Diff}(P) : \exists \bar{u}_\psi \in \mathrm{Aut}(A) \text{ s.t. } \psi \circ \rho(a) = \rho(\bar{u}_\psi(a)) \circ \psi, \forall a \in A\},$$

where $\mathrm{Aut}(A)$ denotes the group of group automorphisms of A. One can describe $\mathrm{Diff}^{\mathrm{eq}}(P)^A$ as the group of those diffeomorphisms ψ of P such that the vertical vector fields $\dot\rho(v)$ and $\dot\rho(u_\psi(v))$ are ψ-related. It is a subgroup of $\mathrm{Diff}_{\mathrm{proj}}(P)$ because $q \circ \psi \circ \rho(a) = q \circ \rho(\bar{u}_\psi(a)) \circ \psi = q \circ \psi$ for all $a \in A$.

The fiber preserving diffeomorphism $\rho(f)$ for $f \in C^\infty(P,A)$ is almost A–equivariant if and only if $\rho(f) \circ \rho(a) = \rho(\bar{u}_{\rho(f)}(a)) \circ \rho(f)$, which can be written as $f(y)^{-1} f(\rho(y,a)) = a^{-1}\bar{u}_{\rho(f)}(a)$ for all $y \in P$. We define the set of *almost A–invariant maps*

$$C^\infty_A(P,A) = \{f \in C^\infty(P,A) : \forall a \in A, f^{-1}(f \circ \rho(a)) \text{ constant on } P\}.$$

For an almost A–invariant function $h \in C^\infty_A(P,V)$, $\exp \circ h$ is an almost A–invariant map with $\bar{u}_f = \exp \circ \bar\gamma_h$. The existence of a unique $\bar{u}_f \in \mathrm{Aut}(A)$ such that $f(y)^{-1} f(\rho(y,a)) = a^{-1}\bar{u}_f(a)$ for all $a \in A$ and $y \in P$ follows easily. We observe that $\rho(f) \in \mathrm{Diff}^{\mathrm{eq}}(P)^A$ if and only if f is an almost A–invariant map with $\bar{u}_f = \bar{u}_{\rho(f)}$.

A group multiplication on $C^\infty_A(P,A)$ is

$$(f_1 \cdot f_2)(y) = f_1(y)\bar{u}_{f_1}(f_2(y)),$$

and it becomes a subgroup of $C^\infty(P,A)_{\mathrm{inver}}$ with multiplication (25). Indeed, for $f_1, f_2 \in C^\infty_A(P,A)$, $f_1(\rho(y, f_2(y)))f_2(y) = f_1(y)\bar{u}_{f_1}(f_2(y))$. The abelian group $C^\infty(M,A)$, identified with the group of A–invariant maps $f : P \to A$, is a subgroup of $C^\infty_A(P,A)$ (in this case $\bar{u}_f = 1_A$).

Restricting (26) we obtain a non-abelian group extension with infinitesimal version (22):

$$1 \to C^\infty_A(P,A) \xrightarrow{\rho} \mathrm{Diff}^{\mathrm{eq}}(P)^A \xrightarrow{q_*} \mathrm{Diff}(M)_{[P]} \to 1. \tag{29}$$

The rest of this section is devoted to "integrate" the abelian Lie algebra extension (24) to a prequantization abelian extension. We define the group of *equi-quantomorphisms* as

$$\mathrm{Diff}^{\mathrm{eq}}(P,\theta)^A = \{\psi \in \mathrm{Diff}_{\mathrm{proj}}(P) : \exists u_\psi \in \mathrm{GL}(V) \text{ s.t. } \psi^*\theta = u_\psi \cdot \theta\}.$$

It contains the group $\mathrm{Diff}(P,\theta)^A$ of quantomorphisms as a subgroup. The linear isomorphism u_ψ is uniquely determined by ψ. When $\varphi \in \mathrm{Diff}(M)$ with $q \circ \psi = \varphi \circ q$, by differentiating the relation $\psi^*\theta = u_\psi \cdot \theta$ we get $\varphi^*\omega = u_\psi \cdot \omega$, hence (φ, u_ψ) is an ω–equivariant diffeomorphism.

The proof of the next proposition follows from Remark 31 in the Appendix.

Proposition 18. *For a smooth curve ψ_t in $\mathrm{Diff}(P)$ starting at the identity we have $\psi_t \in \mathrm{Diff}^{\mathrm{eq}}(P,\theta)^A \Leftrightarrow \delta^l\psi_t \in \mathfrak{X}^{\mathrm{eq}}(P,\theta)^A \Leftrightarrow \delta^r\psi_t \in \mathfrak{X}^{\mathrm{eq}}(P,\theta)^A$.*

In particular if the flow of an infinitesimal equi-quantomorphism exists, then it consists of equi-quantomorphisms.

Proposition 19. *The group $\mathrm{Diff}^{\mathrm{eq}}(P)^A$ of almost A–equivariant diffeomorphisms contains the group $\mathrm{Diff}^{\mathrm{eq}}(P,\theta)^A$ of equi-quantomorphisms as a subgroup. More precisely, the deviation from A–equivariance of an equi-quantomorphism ψ is measured by the isomorphism $u_\psi \in \mathrm{GL}(V)$.*

Proof. Let ψ be an equi-quantomorphism with $\psi^*\theta = u_\psi \cdot \theta$. Then ψ^{-1} is an equi-quantomorphism too, with $(\psi^{-1})^*\theta = (u_\psi)^{-1} \cdot \theta$. For any $a \in A$, the diffeomorphism $\psi \circ \rho(a) \circ \psi^{-1}$ is fiber preserving and θ–invariant, in particular it is of the form $\rho(f)$ with $f \in C^\infty(P,A)_{\mathrm{inver}}$ depending on a. From Proposition 33 in the Appendix, $0 = \rho(f)^*\theta - \theta = \delta^l(f)$, so that f is a constant denoted $\bar{u}(a) \in A$. We obtain that $\psi \circ \rho(a) = \rho(\bar{u}(a)) \circ \psi$, so $\bar{u} \in \mathrm{Aut}(A)$. The infinitesimal version of this identity is $T\psi \circ \dot{\rho}(v) = \dot{\rho}(u(v)) \circ \psi$, where $u \in \mathrm{GL}(V)$ with $\bar{u} \circ \exp = \exp \circ u$.

It remains to be shown that $u = u_\psi$. This follows from the above mentioned fact that $\dot{\rho}(v)$ and $\dot{\rho}(u(v))$ are ψ-related: $u_\psi(v) = i_{\dot{\rho}(v)}(\psi^*\theta) = \psi^*(i_{\dot{\rho}(u(v))}\theta) = u(v)$ for all $v \in V$. ☐

The group $\mathrm{Hol}^{\mathrm{eq}}(M,\omega)$ of diffeomorphisms preserving the holonomy up to a group automorphism of A, called the group of *almost holonomy preserving diffeomorphisms* is

$$\mathrm{Hol}^{\mathrm{eq}}(M,\omega) = \{(\varphi,\bar{u}) \in \mathrm{Diff}(M) \times \mathrm{Aut}(A) : \forall \ell \in C^\infty(S^1,M), h(\varphi \circ \ell) = \bar{u}(h(\ell))\}.$$

The group $\mathrm{Hol}^{\mathrm{eq}}(M,\omega)$ acts in a natural way on the abelian group A. Adapting the idea of the proof of Theorem 2.7 in [NV03] to the equivariant setting, we will show that

$$1 \to A \xrightarrow{\rho} \mathrm{Diff}^{\mathrm{eq}}(P,\theta)^A \xrightarrow{p} \mathrm{Hol}^{\mathrm{eq}}(M,\omega) \to 1 \tag{30}$$

is an exact sequence of groups integrating the abelian Lie algebra extension (24).

Lemma 20. *Given* $(\varphi, \bar{u}) \in \mathrm{Hol}^{\mathrm{eq}}(M, \omega)$ *and, for a fixed* $x_0 \in M$*, a bijection* $\psi_{x_0} : q^{-1}(x_0) \to q^{-1}(\varphi(x_0))$ *satisfying* $\psi_{x_0} \circ \rho(a) = \rho(\bar{u}(a)) \circ \psi_{x_0}$ *for all* $a \in A$*, there exists a unique equi-quantomorphism* ψ *of* P *extending* ψ_{x_0} *and descending to the diffeomorphism* φ *of* M*.*

Proof. Let $\mathrm{Pt}(c) : q^{-1}(x_0) \to q^{-1}(x)$ denote the parallel tansport map along a curve c from x_0 to x in M. It defines a map

$$\psi_x = \mathrm{Pt}(\varphi \circ c) \circ \psi_{x_0} \circ \mathrm{Pt}(c)^{-1} : q^{-1}(x) \to q^{-1}(\varphi(x)) \tag{31}$$

which does not depend on the choice of c, because for every loop ℓ at x_0

$$\mathrm{Pt}(\varphi \circ \ell) \circ \psi_{x_0} \circ \mathrm{Pt}(\ell)^{-1} = \rho(\bar{u}(h(\ell))) \circ \psi_{x_0} \circ \rho(h(\ell))^{-1} = \psi_{x_0}.$$

The maps ψ_x, $x \in M$, glue to a diffeomorphism ψ of P which satisfies $\psi \circ \rho(a) = \rho(\bar{u}(a)) \circ \psi$ for all $a \in A$. Its infinitesimal version is: $\dot{\rho}(v)$ and $\dot{\rho}(u(v))$ are ψ-related, where $u \in \mathrm{GL}(V)$ is given by $\exp \circ u = \bar{u} \circ \exp$.

The tangent map $T\psi : TP \to TP$ maps horizontal vectors to horizontal vectors because for any horizontal lift c^{hor} of the curve c, the curve $\psi \circ c^{\mathrm{hor}}$ is the horizontal lift starting at $\psi(c^{\mathrm{hor}}(0))$ of the curve $\varphi \circ c$. Indeed, $\mathrm{Pt}(c)^{-1}(c^{\mathrm{hor}}(t)) = c^{\mathrm{hor}}(0)$, so by (31) we obtain $(\psi \circ c^{\mathrm{hor}})(t) = \mathrm{Pt}(\varphi \circ c|_{[0,t]})(\psi(c^{\mathrm{hor}}(0))$. Now one can show that $\psi^*\theta = u \cdot \theta$:

$$(\psi^*\theta)(\eta^{\mathrm{hor}} + \dot{\rho}(v)) = \theta(T\psi.\eta^{\mathrm{hor}}) + \theta(\dot{\rho}(u(v))) = u(v) = (u \cdot \theta)(\eta^{\mathrm{hor}} + \dot{\rho}(v))$$

for all $\eta \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ and $v \in V$. ☐

Theorem 21. *The group* $\mathrm{Diff}^{\mathrm{eq}}(P, \theta)^A$ *of equi-quantomorphisms is an abelian extension of the group* $\mathrm{Hol}^{\mathrm{eq}}(M, \omega)$ *of almost holonomy preserving diffeomorphisms by the natural* $\mathrm{Hol}^{\mathrm{eq}}(M, \omega)$*–module* A*, i.e.* (30) *is an exact sequence of groups.*

Proof. For $\psi \in \mathrm{Diff}^{\mathrm{eq}}(P, \theta)^A$ with $\varphi \circ q = q \circ \psi$ and $\psi^*\theta = u_\psi \cdot \theta$, we define $p(\psi) = (q_*\psi, \bar{u}_\psi)$, where $\bar{u}_\psi \in \mathrm{Aut}(A)$ with $\bar{u}_\psi \circ \exp = \exp \circ u_\psi$. In particular ψ is almost A–equivariant: $\psi \circ \rho(a) = \rho(\bar{u}_\psi(a)) \circ \psi$ by Proposition 19.

We verify that $h(\varphi \circ \ell) = \bar{u}_\psi(h(\ell))$ for any $\ell \in C^\infty(S^1, M)$, showing that φ is an almost holonomy preserving diffeomorphism. First we observe that if ℓ^{hor} is a horizontal lift of the loop ℓ, then $\psi \circ \ell^{\mathrm{hor}}$ is a horizontal lift of the loop $\varphi \circ \ell$:

$$\theta((\psi \circ \ell^{\mathrm{hor}})'(t)) = \theta(T\psi.(\ell^{\mathrm{hor}})'(t)) = (\psi^*\theta)((\ell^{\mathrm{hor}})'(t)) = u_\psi(\theta((\ell^{\mathrm{hor}})'(t)) = 0.$$

Then the desired identity follows from the computation

$$\begin{aligned} \rho((\psi \circ \ell^{\mathrm{hor}})(0), h(\varphi \circ \ell)) = (\psi \circ \ell^{\mathrm{hor}})(1) &= \psi(\rho(\ell^{\mathrm{hor}}(0), h(\ell))) \\ &= \rho(\psi(\ell^{\mathrm{hor}}(0)), \bar{u}_\psi(h(\ell))). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $p : \mathrm{Diff}^{\mathrm{eq}}(P, \theta)^A \to \mathrm{Hol}^{\mathrm{eq}}(M, \omega)$ is well defined. By the previous lemma it is also surjective, and (30) is an exact sequence of groups. ☐

Adapting the proof of Corollary 2.8 in [NV03] to the equivariant setting, one shows that the identity component $\mathrm{Diff}^{\mathrm{eq}}(P,\theta)_0^A$ of the group of equi-quantomorphisms is an abelian extension of the group $\mathrm{Diff}^{\mathrm{eq}}_{\mathrm{ex}}(M,\omega)$ of equi-hamiltonian diffeomorphisms by the natural $\mathrm{Diff}^{\mathrm{eq}}_{\mathrm{ex}}(M,\omega)$–module A:

$$1 \to A \to \mathrm{Diff}^{\mathrm{eq}}(P,\theta)_0^A \to \mathrm{Diff}^{\mathrm{eq}}_{\mathrm{ex}}(M,\omega) \to 1. \tag{32}$$

We call this the *prequantization abelian extension.*

Remark 22. Like in Remark 17, if $V = V_0$ the closure of the image of $\omega : TM \times_M TM \to V$, the group of equi-hamiltonian diffeomorphisms can be identified with its projection on the first factor. In this case (30) can be seen as a restriction of (29), and the same is true for the prequantization abelian extension.

Group 2–cocycle

In the special case when $\omega = d\alpha$ for some $\alpha \in \Omega^1(M,V)$, the principal bundle with curvature ω is $P = M \times V \xrightarrow{q} M$ with principal connection 1–form $\theta = q^*\alpha + \theta_V$, where θ_V denotes the Maurer-Cartan form on V. Then the prequantization central extension (28) for $(M, d\alpha)$ is defined by V–valued group 2–cocycles [ILM06]:

$$c(\varphi_1,\varphi_2) = f(\varphi_2)(x) - f(\varphi_1\varphi_2)(x) + f(\varphi_1)(\varphi_2(x))$$

on $\mathrm{Diff}_{\mathrm{ex}}(M,\omega)$, where $f : \mathrm{Diff}_{\mathrm{ex}}(M,\omega) \to C^\infty(M,V)$ is a map satisfying $f(1_M) = 0$ and $d(f(\varphi)) = \alpha - \varphi^*\alpha$. Here $x \in M$ is arbitrary, because one observes that $c(\varphi_1,\varphi_2)$ does not depend on x.

We show that the prequantization abelian extension (32) is described by a similar V-valued group 2–cocycle on $\mathrm{Diff}^{\mathrm{eq}}_{\mathrm{ex}}(M,\omega)$.

Theorem 23. *Given $\omega = d\alpha$ for $\alpha \in \Omega^1(M,V)$, the identity component of the group of equi-quantomorphisms $\mathrm{Diff}^{\mathrm{eq}}(P,\theta)_0^A$ is the abelian extension of the group of equi-hamiltonian diffeomorphisms $\mathrm{Diff}^{\mathrm{eq}}_{\mathrm{ex}}(M,\omega)$ by V with cohomology class defined by the V–valued group 2–cocycle c on $\mathrm{Diff}^{\mathrm{eq}}_{\mathrm{ex}}(M,\omega)$,*

$$c((\varphi_1,u_1),(\varphi_2,u_2)) = u_1(f(\varphi_2,u_2)(x)) - f(\varphi_1\varphi_2,u_1u_2)(x) + f(\varphi_1,u_1)(\varphi_2(x)),$$

where $f : \mathrm{Diff}^{\mathrm{eq}}_{\mathrm{ex}}(M,\omega) \to C^\infty(M,V)$ is a map satisfying $f(1_M,1_V) = 0$ and $d(f(\varphi,u)) = u\cdot\alpha - \varphi^*\alpha$. Different choices for f define cohomologous cocycles.

Proof. The existence of the map f follows from Remark 12.

Let ψ be an equi-quantomorphism of $(P = M \times V, \theta = q^*\alpha + \theta_V)$. Then it is of the form $\psi(x,v) = (\varphi(x), m_\psi(x,v))$, where $\varphi = q_*\psi$ and $m_\psi : M \times V \to V$. The condition $\psi^*\theta = u\cdot\theta$ becomes $dm_\psi = d(f(\varphi,u) + u)$ because $\psi^*(\theta_V) = dm_\psi$ and $\psi^*q^*\alpha = q^*\varphi^*\alpha = q^*(u\cdot\alpha - d(f(\varphi,u)))$. Hence the map m_ψ is of the form $m_\psi(x,v) = f(\varphi,u)(x) + u(v) + a$ for some $a \in V$ so

$$\psi(x,v) = (\varphi(x), f(\varphi,u)(x) + u(v) + a).$$

In this way we define a bijection $\psi \mapsto ((\varphi, u), a)$ between $\mathrm{Diff}^{\mathrm{eq}}(P, \theta)^A$ and the cartezian product $\mathrm{Diff}^{\mathrm{eq}}_{\mathrm{ex}}(M, \omega) \times V \subset \mathrm{Diff}(M) \times \mathrm{GL}(V) \times V$. The following computation shows that the group $\mathrm{Diff}^{\mathrm{eq}}(P, \theta)^A_0$ is isomorphic to the abelian extension defined by the given V–valued group 2–cocycle c on $\mathrm{Diff}^{\mathrm{eq}}_{\mathrm{ex}}(M, \omega)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\varphi_1, u_1, a_1) \circ (\varphi_2, u_2, a_2)(x, v) = (\varphi_1, u_1, a_1)(\varphi_2(x), f(\varphi_2, u_2)(x) + u_2(v) + a_2) \\
&= (\varphi_1\varphi_2(x), f(\varphi_1, u_1)(\varphi_2(x)) + u_1 f(\varphi_2, u_2)(x) + u_1 u_2(v) + u_1(a_2) + a_1) \\
&= (\varphi_1\varphi_2(x), f(\varphi_1\varphi_2, u_1 u_2)(x) + u_1 u_2(v) + a_1 + u_1(a_2) + c((\varphi_1, u_1), (\varphi_2, u_2))) \\
&= (\varphi_1\varphi_2, u_1 u_2, a_1 + u_1(a_2) + c((\varphi_1, u_1), (\varphi_2, u_2)))(x, v)
\end{aligned}$$

for all $(x, v) \in P$, which means $((\varphi_1, u_1), a_1) \circ ((\varphi_2, u_2), a_2) = ((\varphi_1, u_1)(\varphi_2, u_2), a_1 + (\varphi_1, u_1) \cdot a_2 + c((\varphi_1, u_1), (\varphi_2, u_2)))$. □

5 Group extensions via prequantization

Let G be a connected Lie group, λ a smooth G-action on M with infinitesimal action $\dot\lambda$, and $\omega \in \Omega^2(M, V)$ a G–invariant closed 2–form. The G–action λ is called a *hamiltonian action* if $i_{\dot\lambda(X)}\omega \in \Omega^1(M, V)$ is exact for all $X \in \mathfrak{g}$. In this case λ is a group homomorphism $: G \to \mathrm{Diff}_{\mathrm{ex}}(M, \omega)$. Assuming the period group Γ of ω is discrete and denoting $A = V/\Gamma$, we consider again a principal A-bundle $q : P \to M$ with connection $\theta \in \Omega^1(P, V)$ and curvature ω.

In Theorem 3.4 from [NV03] is proven that, given a hamiltonian action λ of a connected Lie group G on (M, ω), there exists a central Lie group extension $\hat G$ of G by A and a smooth $\hat G$–action on (P, θ) by quantomorphisms, lifting the G–action. The central extension of G is a pull-back of the prequantization central extension (28) and a corresponding Lie algebra cocycle is $(X, Y) \mapsto -\omega(\dot\lambda(X), \dot\lambda(Y))(x_0)$, $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$, where $x_0 \in M$ is fixed. The manifold structure on $\hat G$ is obtained from the pull-back bundle of P by an orbit map of G on M.

These results are generalized in this section to obtain abelian Lie group extensions of G associated to a G–equivariant 2–form ω. We consider a smooth action λ on M and a linear action b on V such that ω is G–equivariant, i.e. $\lambda_g^*\omega = b_g \cdot \omega$. Let $\dot\lambda : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{X}(M)$ and $\dot b : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ denote the infinitesimal $\mathfrak{g}$-actions. The pair (λ, b) is called an *equi-hamiltonian G–action* if the 1–form $q^* i_{\dot\lambda(X)}\omega - \dot b(X) \cdot \theta$ is exact for all $X \in \mathfrak{g}$.

In this case $(\lambda_g, b_g) \in \mathrm{Diff}^{\mathrm{eq}}_{\mathrm{ex}}(M, \omega)$ for each $g \in G$, by Proposition 11. Then the pull-back of the prequantization abelian extension (32) by (λ, b):

$$\hat G = \{(g, \psi) \in G \times \mathrm{Diff}(P) : q_*\psi = \lambda_g, \psi^*\theta = b_g \cdot \theta\}, \tag{33}$$

is an abelian group extension $1 \to A \xrightarrow{i} \hat G \xrightarrow{p} G \to 1$ with $i(a) = (e, \rho(a))$ and $p(g, \psi) = g$. The induced G–module structure on A comes from the linear action b on V.

To show that $\hat{G}$ is a Lie group with a smooth action $\hat{\lambda}$ by equi-quantomorphisms of (P,θ), lifting the action λ, we use Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 3.3 from [NV03] and we adapt the proof of Theorem 2.7 to our equivariant setting.

Theorem 24. *Given $\omega \in \Omega^2(M,V)$ a closed 2–form with discrete period group Γ and an equi-hamiltonian G–action (λ, b), there is an abelian Lie group extension $\hat{G}$ of G by the G–module $A = V/\Gamma$, integrating the V–valued Lie algebra 2–cocycle*

$$(X,Y) \mapsto -\omega(\dot{\lambda}(X),\dot{\lambda}(Y))(x_0), \quad X,Y \in \mathfrak{g}, \tag{34}$$

whose cohomology class does not depend on the choice of the point $x_0 \in M$. There is also a $\hat{G}$–action $\hat{\lambda}$ on P lifting the G–action and such that θ is $\hat{G}$–equivariant, i.e. $\hat{\lambda}^*_{\hat{g}}\theta = b_{p(\hat{g})}\cdot\theta$ for any $\hat{g} \in \hat{G}$.

Proof. Let $\lambda_{x_0} : g \in G \mapsto \lambda_g(x_0) \in M$ be the orbit map, and let $\lambda^*_{x_0}P \to G$ be the pull-back of the A-bundle $P \to M$. From Lemma 3.2 follows that an element $y_0 \in P$ with $q(y_0) = x_0$ defines a bijection $(g,\psi) \in \hat{G} \mapsto (g,\psi(y_0)) \in \lambda^*_{x_0}P$, and the smooth manifold structure transported on $\hat{G}$ by this bijection does not depend on the choice of x_0.

We define the $\hat{G}$–action $\hat{\lambda}$ on P by

$$\hat{\lambda} : ((g,\psi),y) \in \hat{G}\times P \mapsto \psi(y) \in P.$$

It lifts the G–action $\lambda : G\times M \to M$ and θ is $\hat{G}$–equivariant because ψ is an equi-quantomorphism. Its restriction to A is $\hat{\lambda}_a = \rho(a)$ for $a \in A$.

In order to show that $\hat{\lambda}$ is smooth, we put product coordinates on P and $\hat{G}$. Any smooth local section $s_M : U \subset M \to P$ of q, with $s_M(x_0) = y_0$, defines a local smooth section $s_G(g) = (g,\psi_g) \in \hat{G}$ of p, for g sufficiently close to the identity of G in order to have $\lambda_g(x_0) \in U$. Here ψ_g is uniquely defined by the condition $\psi_g(y_0) = s_M(\lambda_g(x_0))$.

We fix the open neighbourhood U_G of e and the convex neighbourhood U_M of x such that $U_G\cdot U_M \subset U$. Then we define a function $f : U_G\times U_M \to A$ with $f(g,x_0) = 1$ for all $g \in U_G$ by the relation

$$\psi_g(s_M(x)) = \rho(s_M(\lambda_g(x)), f(g,x)). \tag{35}$$

In other words, for $g \in U_G$ the expression of ψ_g in product coordinates is $\psi_g : (x,a) \in U_M\times A \mapsto (\lambda_g(x), f(g,x)\bar{b}_g(a)) \in U\times A$, where $\bar{b}_g \in \mathrm{Aut}(A)$ with $\bar{b}_g\circ\exp = \exp\circ b_g$. This can be deduced from

$$(\psi_g\circ\rho(a))(s_M(x)) = (\rho(\bar{b}_g(a))\circ\psi_g)(s_M(x)) = \rho(f(g,x)\bar{b}_g(a))(s_M(\lambda_g(x))).$$

The connection 1–form in product coordinates $U\times A$ is $\theta = q^*\alpha + q_A^*\theta^l_A$, with $q_A : q^{-1}(U) \to A$ the second projection, $\alpha = s_M^*\theta \in \Omega^1(U,V)$ and $\theta^l_A = \delta^l(1_A) \in$

$\Omega^1(A,V)$ the Maurer-Cartan form on A. In particular $d\alpha = \omega$ on $U \subset M$. The condition $\psi_g^*\theta = b_g \cdot \theta$ implies $\delta^l(f_g) = \lambda_g^*\alpha - b_g \cdot \alpha$. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = \psi_g^*(q^*\alpha + q_A^*\theta_A^l) - b_g \cdot (q^*\alpha + q_A^*\theta_A^l) &= q^*(\lambda_g^*\alpha - b_g \cdot \alpha) \\ + \delta^l(m \circ (\bar{b}_g \circ q_A, f_g \circ q)) - q_A^*\delta^l(\bar{b}_g) &= q^*(\lambda_g^*\alpha - b_g \cdot \alpha - \delta^l(f_g)) \end{aligned}$$

because $q_A \circ \psi_g = m \circ (\bar{b}_g \circ q_A, f_g \circ q)$ for m the group multiplication map of A and $\delta^l(m \circ (h_1, h_2)) = \delta^l h_1 + \delta^l h_2$ for $h_1, h_2 : P \to A$.

Using the Poincaré Lemma applied to the closed 1–form $\lambda_g^*\alpha - b_g \cdot \alpha$ on the convex set U_M, we obtain that f is a smooth function. Then

$$(\rho(a) \circ \psi_g)(\rho(s_M(x), a')) = \rho(s_M(\lambda_g(x)), f(g,x)a\bar{b}_g(a'))$$

assures the following expression of $\hat{\lambda}$ in product coordinates:

$$\hat{\lambda}((g,a),(x,a')) = (\lambda_g(x), f(g,x)a\bar{b}_g(a')),$$

thus showing the smoothness of $\hat{\lambda}$.

The A–valued local group 2–cocycle on G corresponding to the section s_G is

$$c(g_1, g_2) = f(g_1, \lambda_{g_2}(x_0)), \tag{36}$$

because

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{g_1}\psi_{g_2}(y_0) = \psi_{g_1}(s_M(\lambda_{g_2}(x_0))) &\overset{(35)}{=} \rho(s_M(\lambda_{g_1g_2}(x_0)), f(g_1, \lambda_{g_2}(x_0))) \\ &= \rho(\psi_{g_1g_2}(y_0), f(g_1, \lambda_{g_2}(x_0))). \end{aligned}$$

This shows the smoothness of multiplication and inversion in an identity neighborhood in $\hat{G}$. That the left multiplications are smooth, follows from the fact that $\hat{G}$ acts by smooth maps on the bundle P. Now Lemma 3.3 in [NV03] implies that $\hat{G}$ is a Lie group.

The corresponding Lie algebra extension $0 \to V \to \hat{\mathfrak{g}} \to \mathfrak{g} \to 0$ is the pull-back by $(\dot{\lambda}, \dot{b}) : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{X}_{\text{ex}}^{\text{eq}}(M, \omega)$ of the abelian extension (24), hence a defining Lie algebra 2–cocycle is (34), the pull-back by $(\dot{\lambda}, \dot{b})$ of the 2–cocycle from Theorem 16. □

Remark 25. Given an exact 2–form $\omega = d\alpha \in \Omega^2(M, V)$ and an equi-hamiltonian G–action (λ, b), Theorem 24 provides an abelian Lie group extension $\hat{G}$ of G by the G–module V. It can be defined also by a group 2–cocycle, the pull-back of the cocycle c from Theorem 23 by the group homomorphism $(\lambda, b) : G \to \text{Diff}_{\text{ex}}^{\text{eq}}(M, \omega)$:

$$c(g_1, g_2) = b_{g_1}(f(g_2)(x)) - f(g_1g_2)(x) + f(g_1)(\lambda_{g_2}(x)),$$

where $f(g) \in C^\infty(M, V)$ with $f(e) = 0$ and $d(f(g)) = b_g \cdot \alpha - \lambda_g^*\alpha$, and $x \in M$ arbitrary. If $f(g)(x_0) = 0$ for all $g \in G$, one obtains (36) like in the proof of the previous theorem.

The $\hat{G}$–action on $P = M \times V$ lifting the G–action and such that θ is $\hat{G}$–equivariant is $(g, v) \cdot (x, v') = (\lambda_g(x), f(g)(x) + b_g(v') + v)$.

Proposition 26. *Every abelian Lie group extension* $1 \to A = V/\Gamma \to \hat{G} \xrightarrow{q} G \to 1$ *of a simply connected Lie group* G *can be obtained as a pull-back of the prequantization abelian extension* (32)*.*

Proof. Let $\hat{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{g} \ltimes_\sigma V$ be the corresponding abelian Lie algebra extension, which is defined by a V-valued 2–cocycle σ on $\mathfrak{g}$, and let $p : \hat{\mathfrak{g}} \to V$ denote the projection on the second factor. Then $\hat{G}$ is a principal A-bundle with principal connection $\theta = p^{\mathrm{eq}}$, the $\hat{G}$-equivariant 1–form on $\hat{G}$ with identity value $\theta_e = p$, and curvature $\omega = -\sigma^{\mathrm{eq}}$, the closed G-equivariant 2–form on G with identity value $\omega_e = -\sigma$. Indeed, the Chevalley-Eilenberg differential of the 1–cochain p on $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ being the opposite of the pullback of the 2–cocycle σ on $\mathfrak{g}$, we have $dp^{\mathrm{eq}} = -q^*\sigma^{\mathrm{eq}}$.

The G-action (λ, b) is equi-hamiltonian, where λ denotes the left translation on G and b the linear G-action on V induced by the G-module structure of A. This we check now. The coadjoint action in $\hat{G}$ can be expressed with the help of a group 1–cocycle $\kappa : \hat{G} \to \mathrm{Lin}(\mathfrak{g}, V)$ as

$$\mathrm{Ad}(\hat{g})(X, v) = (\mathrm{Ad}(g)X, b_g(v) - \kappa(\hat{g})(\mathrm{Ad}(g)X)).$$

The expression of the Lie bracket in $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ involving σ assures that the Lie algebra 1–cocycle corresponding to κ is $\alpha : \hat{\mathfrak{g}} \to \mathrm{Lin}(\mathfrak{g}, V)$, $\alpha(X', v')(X) = \dot{b}(X)v' + \sigma(X, X')$, which means $\alpha = \dot{b}^{\vee} \circ p - \sigma^{\vee}$.

From Proposition 6.4 in [N04] follows that for any $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ the differential of the map $\kappa_X \in C^\infty(\hat{G}, V)$ defined by κ is $d\kappa_X = \dot{b}(X) \cdot p^{\mathrm{eq}} + q^* i_{X^r}\sigma^{\mathrm{eq}}$, where X^r denotes the right invariant vector field on G with identity value $X^r(e) = X$. This follows also from Proposition 36 from the Appendix, observing that $(\dot{b}^{\vee} \circ p)^{\mathrm{eq}} = \dot{b}(X) \cdot p^{\mathrm{eq}}$ and $\mathrm{ev}_X \circ (\sigma^{\vee})^{\mathrm{eq}} = -i_{X^r}\sigma^{\mathrm{eq}}$.

Since $\dot{\lambda}(X) = X^r$, we obtain that (λ, b) is an equi-hamiltonian action:

$$\mathrm{flux}^{\mathrm{eq}}(\dot{\lambda}(X), \dot{b}(X)) = [q^* i_{\dot{\lambda}(X)}\omega - \dot{b}(X) \cdot \theta] = -[d\kappa_X] = 0.$$

The pull-back of the prequantization abelian extension

$$1 \to A \to \mathrm{Diff}^{\mathrm{eq}}(\hat{G}, p^{\mathrm{eq}})_0^A \to \mathrm{Diff}^{\mathrm{eq}}_{\mathrm{ex}}(G, -\sigma^{\mathrm{eq}}) \to 1$$

by the equi-hamiltonian action (λ, b) is an abelian Lie group extension of G by A, integrating the Lie algebra 2–cocycle σ because

$$-\omega(\dot{\lambda}(X), \dot{\lambda}(Y))(e) = \sigma^{\mathrm{eq}}(X^r, Y^r)(e) = \sigma(X, Y).$$

It coincides with the given extension $\hat{G}$ since they have the same Lie algebras and G is simply connected. □

6 Examples

For a connected Lie group G, any abelian extension $\hat{G}$ by an n-torus $\mathbb{T}^n$ is a central extension. This happens because the automorphism group $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ of $\mathbb{T}^n$

is discrete. This is the reason why the examples of abelian extensions presented in this section are infinite dimensional. The obstructions for the integration of a general Lie algebra 2–cocycle [N02] [N04] are presented in the Appendix.

Theorem 24 provides a geometric construction of several abelian Lie group extensions of diffeomorphism groups. In the examples below, the manifold M will always be a homogeneous manifold G/H, with H a connected Lie subgroup of G and V a G-module. The G–equivariant closed 2–form ω on G/H is uniquely defined by a V-valued Lie algebra 2–cocycle σ on $\mathfrak{g}$ satisfying two properties:

1. The kernel of σ contains the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}$ of H, so that σ descends to a skew-symmetric bilinear form on $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}$.
2. σ is H–equivariant, i.e. $\sigma(\mathrm{Ad}(g)X, \mathrm{Ad}(g)Y) = b(g)\cdot\sigma(X,Y)$ for all $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $g \in H$. The subgroup H being connected, the last condition is equivalent to $\sigma([Z,X],Y) + \sigma(X,[Z,Y]) = \dot{b}(Z)\cdot\sigma(X,Y)$ for all $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $Z \in \mathfrak{h}$.

Example 27. We consider the group $\mathrm{Diff}_+(S^1)$ of orientation preserving diffeomorphisms of the circle and its modules $\mathfrak{F}_\lambda$ of λ-densities on the circle: $b_\lambda(\varphi)f = (\varphi')^\lambda(f\circ\varphi)$ for $\varphi \in \mathrm{Diff}_+(S^1)$ and $f \in C^\infty(S^1)$. The $\mathfrak{X}(S^1)$–module structure on $\mathfrak{F}_\lambda$ is given by $\dot{b}_\lambda(X)f = Xf' + \lambda X'f$ for $X \in \mathfrak{X}(S^1)$.

The abelian extensions of $\mathfrak{X}(S^1)$ defined with the $\mathfrak{F}_\lambda$–valued cocycles

$$\sigma_0(X,Y) = \int_0^1 (X'Y'' - X''Y')dx \in \mathbb{R} \subset \mathfrak{F}_0$$
$$\sigma_1(X,Y) = X'Y'' - X''Y' \in \mathfrak{F}_1$$
$$\sigma_2(X,Y) = X'Y''' - X'''Y' \in \mathfrak{F}_2$$

integrate to abelian extensions of $\mathrm{Diff}_+(S^1)$. Corresponding group cocycles are presented in [OR98]. These abelian extensions can also be obtained geometrically by the Theorem 24, taking M to be the contractible homogeneous space $\mathrm{Diff}_+(S^1)/S^1$, where S^1 is identified with the subgroup of rotations of S^1. The existence of the $\mathrm{Diff}_+(S^1)$–equivariant $\mathfrak{F}_\lambda$–valued closed 2–form ω_λ on M defined by the 2–cocycle σ_λ for $\lambda = 0, 1, 2$ is ensured by the S^1–equivariance of σ_λ and the fact that the constant vector fields belong to the kernel of σ_λ.

The abelian extensions of $\mathfrak{X}(S^1)$ by $\mathfrak{F}_\lambda$ defined with the 2–cocycles

$$\bar{\sigma}_0(X,Y) = XY' - X'Y \in \mathfrak{F}_0$$
$$\bar{\sigma}_1(X,Y) = XY'' - X''Y \in \mathfrak{F}_1$$
$$\bar{\sigma}_2(X,Y) = XY''' - X'''Y \in \mathfrak{F}_2$$

integrate to abelian extensions of the universal covering group $\widetilde{\mathrm{Diff}}_+(S^1)$ [N04]. For the geometric construction of these abelian extensions, in Theorem 24 we take $G = \widetilde{\mathrm{Diff}}_+(S^1)$ acting by left translations on $M = \widetilde{\mathrm{Diff}}_+(S^1)$, which is contractible.

The $\widetilde{\mathrm{Diff}}_+(S^1)$–equivariant $\mathfrak{F}_\lambda$–valued closed 2–form $\bar{\omega}_\lambda$ is uniquely defined by its value $\bar{\sigma}_\lambda$ at the identity, for $\lambda = 0, 1, 2$.

Example 28. Given a volume form μ on M, a non-trivial $\Omega^1(M)/d\Omega^0(M)$–valued Lie algebra 2–cocycle on $\mathfrak{X}(M)$ is $\sigma(X, Y) = (\operatorname{div} X)d(\operatorname{div} Y)$. A group 2–cocycle on $\mathrm{Diff}(M)$ integrating σ is constructed in [B03].

For the geometric construction of an abelian Lie group extension of $\mathrm{Diff}(M)$ by its module $\Omega^1(M)/d\Omega^0(M)$, we remark that the 2–cocycle σ is $\mathrm{Diff}(M, \mu)$–equivariant and the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{X}(M, \mu)$ of divergence free vector fields is contained in the kernel of σ, hence there is a closed $\mathrm{Diff}(M)$–equivariant 2–form ω on the homogeneous space $\mathrm{Diff}(M)/\mathrm{Diff}(M, \mu)$. By a result of Moser, this space can be identified with the contractible space of all volume forms of total mass 1. Now the Theorem 24 can be applied to ω.

Example 29. Let θ be a connection 1–form on the principal $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$-bundle of frames $\pi : P(M) \to M$. Gelfand's cocycle presented in [R06] is the $\Omega^2(M)$–valued 2–cocycle σ on $\mathfrak{X}(M)$ defined by $\pi^*\sigma(X, Y) = \mathrm{tr}(L_{\tilde{X}}\theta \wedge L_{\tilde{Y}}\theta)$, where $\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y} \in \mathfrak{X}(P(M))$ are canonical lifts of $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$. In the special case $M = \mathbb{T}^n$ the n-torus, a group 2–cocycle on $\mathrm{Diff}(\mathbb{T}^n)$ integrating σ is constructed in [B03].

For the 2–sphere S^2, Theorem 24 provides a gemetric construction of an abelian Lie group extension of $\mathrm{Diff}(S^2)$ by $\Omega^2(S^2)$ integrating Gelfand's cocycle. We choose the connection θ on the principal bundle of frames of S^2 induced by the canonical Riemannian metric on S^2. The connected component of the isometry group of S^2 is $\mathrm{SO}(3) \subset \mathrm{Diff}(S^2)$, so $L_{\tilde{X}}\theta = 0$ for $X \in \mathfrak{so}(3) \subset \mathfrak{X}(S^2)$. The 2–cocycle σ is $\mathrm{SO}(3)$–equivariant and the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{so}(3)$ is contained in the kernel of σ, hence there exists a closed $\mathrm{Diff}(S^2)$–equivariant 2–form ω on the homogeneous space $\mathrm{Diff}(S^2)/\mathrm{SO}(3)$, given at the identity by σ. By a result of Smale [S59], this homogeneous space is contractible, and Theorem 24 can be applied to ω.

7 Appendix

Logarithmic derivative

In this subsection we collect some properties of the logarithmic derivative [KM97].

Given M a manifold and G a Lie group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, the right logarithmic derivative of a function $h \in C^\infty(M, G)$ is $\delta^r h \in \Omega^1(M, \mathfrak{g})$:

$$(\delta^r h)(X_x) := (T_x h.X_x)h(x)^{-1}, \forall X_x \in T_x M.$$

Remark 30. A left logarithmic derivative δ^l is defined similarly. There is a relation between left and right logarithmic derivatives

$$\delta^r h = \mathrm{Ad}(h)\delta^l h = -\delta^l(h^{-1}).$$

When $h : \mathbb{R} \to (\mathbb{R}_+, \cdot)$, then $\delta^r h(\partial_t) = \delta^l h(\partial_t) = (\log h)'(t)$ is the derivative of the logarithm of h.

Given a curve h in G we will identify the 1–form $\delta^r h$ with the curve $i_{\partial_t}\delta^r h$ in $\mathfrak{g}$. When $\varphi_t \in \mathrm{Diff}(M)$ is a diffeotopy of the manifold M, then $\delta^r\varphi_t$ is the associated time dependent vector field on M, and $\delta^l\varphi_t = \varphi_t^*\delta^r\varphi_t$. For ω a differential form, we have $\frac{d}{dt}\varphi_t^*\omega = \varphi_t^* L_{\delta^r\varphi_t}\omega$. This is a particular case of the identity $\frac{d}{dt}(g_t \cdot v) = \delta^r g_t \cdot (g_t \cdot v) = g_t \cdot (\delta^l g_t \cdot v)$, for a smooth G–module V and a curve g_t in G.

Remark 31. Given a closed form $\omega \in \Omega^p(M, V)$, a path φ_t of diffeomorphisms of M and a path u_t of linear isomorphisms of V, both starting at the identity, the following equivalences hold:

$$\varphi_t^*\omega = u_t \cdot \omega \Leftrightarrow L_{\delta^r\varphi_t}\omega = \delta^r u_t \cdot \omega \Leftrightarrow L_{\delta^l\varphi_t}\omega = \delta^l u_t \cdot \omega.$$

We show that $L_{\delta^r\varphi_t}\omega = \delta^r u_t \cdot \omega$ implies $\varphi_t^*\omega = u_t \cdot \omega$. The computation $\frac{d}{dt}(\varphi_t^*\omega - u_t \cdot \omega) = \varphi_t^* L_{\delta^r\varphi_t}\omega - \delta^r u_t \cdot (u_t \cdot \omega) = \delta^r u_t \cdot (\varphi_t^*\omega - u_t \cdot \omega)$ shows that the curve $\omega_t = \varphi_t^*\omega - u_t \cdot \omega$ is the unique solution of the differential equation $\frac{d}{dt}\omega_t = \delta^r u_t \cdot \omega_t$ with initial condition $\omega_0 = 0$, hence $\omega_t = 0$.

Remark 32. The right logarithmic derivative satisfies the Leibniz rule

$$\delta^r(h_1 h_2) = \delta^r h_1 + \mathrm{Ad}(h_1)\delta^r h_2$$

for $h_1, h_2 \in C^\infty(M, G)$. In other words δ^r is a group 1–cocycle on $C^\infty(M, G)$ with values in the $C^\infty(M, G)$-module $\Omega^1(M, \mathfrak{g})$. The logarithmic derivative of a G-valued function h on a connected manifold M vanishes if and only if h is constant.

The *left Maurer-Cartan form* on a Lie group G is the 1–form

$$\theta_G^l = \delta^l(1_G) \in \Omega^1(G, \mathfrak{g}).$$

It satisfies $\theta_G^l(X_g) = g^{-1} \cdot X_g$ for $X_g \in T_g G$, and $\delta^l h = h^*\theta_G^l$ for any $h \in C^\infty(M, G)$. Both θ_G^l and $\delta^l h$ satisfy the right Maurer-Cartan equation

$$d\theta_G^l + \frac{1}{2}\theta_G^l \wedge \theta_G^l = 0, \quad d\delta^l h + \frac{1}{2}\delta^l h \wedge \delta^l h = 0.$$

Given $\alpha \in \Omega^1(M, \mathfrak{g})$ which satisfies the right Maurer-Cartan equation $d\alpha + \frac{1}{2}\alpha \wedge \alpha = 0$ and $U \subseteq M$ simply connected, there exists a smooth function $h : U \to G$ with $\delta^l h = \alpha$ on U, called the *Cartan developing* of α. In the special case of a domain in $\mathbb{R}^2$, when $h(t, s) \in G$ is a smooth two parameter family, we get

$$\frac{d}{dt}\eta - \frac{d}{dt}\xi = [\xi, \eta], \tag{37}$$

where $\xi(t, s) = (\frac{d}{dt}h(t, s))h(t, s)^{-1}$ and $\eta(t, s) = (\frac{d}{ds}h(t, s))h(t, s)^{-1}$.

Proposition 33. *Let* $\theta \in \Omega^1(P, V)$ *be a principal connection of the principal* A*-bundle* $q : P \to M$ *with* $A = V/\Gamma$*. Then for any* $f \in C^\infty(P, A)$*,*

$$\rho(f)^*\theta = \theta + \delta^l(f), \tag{38}$$

where ρ *denotes the principal* A*-action.*

Proof. Let $q^{-1}(U) \cong U \times A$ be a principal bundle chart with q and q_A the two projections on U and A. The principal connection 1–form in this chart is

$$\theta = q^*\alpha + q_A^*\theta_A^l,$$

where $\theta_A^l = \delta^l(1_A) \in \Omega^1(A, V)$ is the Maurer-Cartan form on A, and $\alpha \in \Omega^1(U, V)$ closed. The diffeomorphism $\rho(f)$ written in this chart is $\rho(f) = (q, m \circ (q_A, f))$, with m denoting the multiplication map in A. Now

$$\rho(f)^*\theta = q^*\alpha + (m \circ (q_A, f))^*\delta^l(1_A) = q^*\alpha + \delta^l(q_A) + \delta^l(f) = \theta + \delta^l(f),$$

because $\delta^l(m \circ (h_1, h_2)) = \delta^l h_1 + \delta^l h_2$ for $h_1, h_2 : P \to A$. $\square$

1–Cocycles

We list in this subsection some properties of 1–cocycles on Lie algebras and Lie groups [N04]. Let G be a Lie group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ and let V be a smooth G-module. Then V is a $\mathfrak{g}$-module and the pull-back action by the universal covering homomorphism $\tilde{G} \to G$ makes V a $\tilde{G}$-module.

A V-valued *group 1–cocycle* is a locally smooth map $a : G \to V$ with

$$a(gg') = a(g) + g \cdot a(g'), \tag{39}$$

and a V-valued *Lie algebra 1–cocycle* is a linear map $\alpha : \mathfrak{g} \to V$ with

$$\alpha([X, X']) = X \cdot \alpha(X') - X' \cdot \alpha(X).$$

There is a natural map $a \mapsto d_e a$ from locally smooth group 1–cocycles on the Lie group G to Lie algebra 1–cocycles on its Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$.

Proposition 34. *There exists a unique group 1–cocycle on the universal covering group* $\tilde{G}$ *integrating the Lie algebra 1–cocycle* $\alpha : \mathfrak{g} \to V$

$$\tilde{a} : \tilde{G} \to V, \quad \tilde{a}([g]) = \int_0^1 g_t \cdot \alpha(\delta^l g_t) dt,$$

where $[g] \in \tilde{G}$ *is the homotopy class of the piecewise smooth path* g_t *in* G *starting at the identity.*

Proof. Using the identity (37), one shows that the map $\tilde{a}$ is well defined. The 1–cocycle condition (39) for $\tilde{a}$ is easily verified noticing that the smooth path $g_t g'_t$, $t \in [0,1]$ and the piecewise smooth path h_t, defined by $h_t = g_{2t}$ if $t \leq \frac{1}{2}$ and $h_t = g_1 g'_{2t-1}$ if $t \geq \frac{1}{2}$, are homotopic. $\square$

Remark 35. If the group Π_α of periods of the closed 1–form α^{eq} is discrete, the for any discrete subgroup Π of V containing Π_α, the 1–cocycle $\tilde{a}$ descends to a 1–cocycle $a : G \to V/\Pi$.

Proposition 36. *If $a : G \to V$ is a group 1–cocycle integrating the Lie algebra 1–cocycle $\alpha : \mathfrak{g} \to V$, then the following identities hold:*

1. $da = \alpha^{\text{eq}} \in \Omega^1(G, V)$.
2. $\frac{d}{dt}(a(g_t)) = g_t \cdot \alpha(\delta^l g_t) = \alpha(\delta^r g_t) + \delta^r g_t \cdot a(g_t)$, *for g_t a path in G starting at the identity.*

From Proposition 36 and Remark 30 we deduce the following:

Corollary 37. *Let $a : G \to V/\Pi$ be a group 1–cocycle integrating the Lie algebra 1–cocycle $\alpha : \mathfrak{g} \to V$. Then* $\operatorname{Ker} a$ *is a subgroup of G and* $\operatorname{Ker} \alpha$ *is a Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ such that, for any smooth curve g in G starting at the identity, the following are equivalent: $g_t \in \operatorname{Ker} a \Leftrightarrow \delta^l g_t \in \operatorname{Ker} \alpha \Leftrightarrow \delta^r g_t \in \operatorname{Ker} \alpha$.*

Lie group extensions

According to the general theory developed in [N02] and [N04], there are two obstructions for the integration of a Lie algebra cocycle σ on $\mathfrak{g}$ with values in a G-module V to a Lie group extension of G by a quotient group of V: the period map and the flux homomorphism.

The *period map* is the group homomorphism

$$\operatorname{per}_\sigma : \pi_2(G) \to V^G, \quad \operatorname{per}_\sigma([c]) = \int_{S^2} c^* \sigma^{\text{eq}} \quad \text{for} \quad c \in C^\infty(S^2, G).$$

Its image Π_σ is called the *period group* of σ.

The *flux homomorphism* $F_\sigma : \pi_1(G) \to H^1(\mathfrak{g}, V), [\gamma] \mapsto [I^\sigma_\gamma]$, assigns to each piecewise smooth loop γ in G based at the identity, the cohomology class of the 1–cocycle

$$I^\sigma_\gamma : \mathfrak{g} \to V, \quad I^\sigma_\gamma(X) = -\int_\gamma i_{X^r} \sigma^{\text{eq}}.$$

Theorem 38. *For a Lie algebra V-valued 2–cocycle σ on $\mathfrak{g}$ with discrete period group Π_σ and vanishing flux homomorphism F_σ, the Lie algebra extension $\hat{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{g} \ltimes_\sigma V$ integrates to an abelian Lie group extension*

$$1 \to V/\Pi_\sigma \to \hat{G} \to G \to 1.$$

References

[B78] A. Banyaga, *Sur la structure du groupe des diffeomorphismes qui preservent une forme symplectique*, Comment. Math. Helv. **53** (1978), 174–227.

[B03] Y. Billig, *Abelian extensions of the group of diffeomorphisms of a torus*, Lett. Math. Phys. **64** (2003), 155–169.

[ILM06] R.S. Ismagilov, M. Losik and P.W. Michor, *A 2–cocycle on a group of symplectomorphisms*, Moscow Math. J. **6** (2006), 307–315.

[K70] B. Kostant, *Quantization and unitary representations*, Lecture Notes in Math. **170** 87–208, 1970.

[KM97] A. Kriegl and P.W. Michor, *The Convenient Setting of Global Analysis*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 53, Amer. Math. Soc., 1997.

[MS07] D. McDuff and D. Salomon, *Introduction to symplectic topology*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, 2nd edition, 2007.

[N02] K.–H. Neeb, *Central extensions of infinite–dimensional Lie groups*, Ann. Inst. Fourier **52** (2002), 1365–1442.

[N04] K.-H. Neeb, *Abelian extensions of infinite-dimensional Lie groups*, Travaux Math. **XV** (2004), 69–194.

[NV03] K.–H. Neeb and C. Vizman, *Flux homomorphisms and principal bundles over infinite dimensional manifolds*, Monatsh. Math. **139** (2003), 309–333.

[O06] K. Ono, *Floer-Novikov cohomology and the flux conjecture*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **16** (2006), 981–1020.

[OR98] V.Y. Ovsienko and C. Roger, *Generalizations of Virasoro group and Virasoro algebra through extensions by modules of tensor densities on* S^1, Indag. Math. N.S. **9** (1998), 277–288.

[RS81] T. Ratiu and R. Schmid, *The differentiable structure of three remarkable diffeomorphism groups*, Math. Z. **177** (1981), 81–100.

[R06] C. Roger, *The group of volume preserving diffeomorphisms and the Lie algebra of unimodular vector fields: survey of some classical and not-so-classical results*, Twenty years of Bialowieza: A mathematical antology. World Scientific Monograph Series in Math. **8** (2005), 79–98.

[S59] S. Smale, *Diffeomorphisms of the two sphere*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **10** (1959), 621–626.

[S70] J.-M. Souriau, *Structure des systemes dynamiques*, Dunod, Paris, 1970.

[WZ05] A. Weinstein and M. Zambon, *Variations on prequantization*, Travaux Math. **XVI** (2005), 187–219.